PARK CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS February 1, 2017



AGENDA

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER AT 5:00 PM ROLL CALL ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF December 7, 2016 PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – Items not scheduled on the regular agenda STAFF/BOARD COMMUNICATIONS AND DISCLOSURES CONTINUATIONS		
336 Daly Avenue – Relocation – Significant Garage and Chicken Coop. The applicant is proposing to relocate the existing historic garage and chicken coop to the south side of the property. Public hearing and continuation to date uncertain	Planner Grahn	18
REGULAR AGENDA – <i>Discussion and possible action as outlined below</i> 1063 Empire Avenue – Material Deconstruction – Significant designation. The applicant is proposing a remodel restoration: Secure existing structure for lifting; build new concrete foundation with basement and garage additions; re-position and anchor home on new foundation; restoration/renovation of historic home with a rear addition. <i>Public hearing and possible action</i>	Planner Scarff	19
WORK SESSION – Discussion items only, no action taken		
Design Guidelines for Historic Districts and Historic Sites	Planner Grahn, Planner Tyler	79
Annual Legal Training on Open Public Meeting Act	Assistant City Attorney Samuels McLean	

ADJOURN

PARK CITY MUNICPAL CORPORATION HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MINUTES OF DECEMBER 7, 2016

BOARD MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: David White, Lola Beatlebrox, Puggy Holmgren, Jack Hodgkins, Douglas Stephens

EX OFFICIO: Bruce Erickson, Anya Grahn, Hannah Turpen, Polly Samuels McLean, Louis Rodriguez

ROLL CALL

Chair White called the meeting to order at 5:04 p.m. and noted that all Board Members were present except Cheryl Hewett who was excused. Lola Beatlebrox arrived later in the meeting.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

November 2, 2016

MOTION: Board Member Holmgren moved to APPROVE the minutes of November 2, 2016 as written. Board Member Stephens seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed. Board Member Beatlebrox was not present for the vote.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS There were no comments.

STAFF/BOARD COMMUNICATIONS AND DISCLOSURES

Planning Director Bruce Erickson stated that the next Historic Preservation Board meeting was scheduled for February 1, 2017. The January meeting was cancelled due to Sundance and other matters.

Director Erickson reported that the Planning Department had received an application to fill the vacancy on the Historic Preservation Board. The candidate was recommended by the Museum and would be interviewed.

Assistant City Attorney McLean realized that the HPB had not had their Annual Open Public Meeting Act Training for the year. However, because she only realized it today, she was unable to meet the 24 hour noticing requirement to put it on the agenda for this meeting. The Board could anticipate the training on February 1, 2017. She would email the Board members a summary of the rules so there would be some communication in 2016 on the Open Public Meeting Act.

Ms. McLean pointed out that the majority of Board Members have had the training in the past.

Chair White stated that for personal reasons, he would like the Board to consider choosing another Board Member to replace him as the Chair. Ms. McLean stated that it would have to be an agenda item in order for the Board to vote. The Staff would put it on the February agenda.

Planner Grahn noted that today was National Pearl Harbor Remembrance Day and it was important to remember the built history from that era. She noted that Salina, Utah had recently opened a new museum about a former CCC Camp and German POW Camp. There was also a documentary about it on KPCW that evening.

Planner Grahn remarked that the Topaz Internment Camp was also in Utah, and there is a museum about it in Delta.

Planner Grahn reported that the Historic Preservation Awarded was being presented next Thursday, jointly with City Council. The painting by Cara Jean Means depicting 562 Main Street would be unveiled. Planner Grahn noted that the plaques they discussed were not done, but they would be delivered in May during the larger National Historic Preservation Month celebration. She encouraged the Board members to attend the presentation next Thursday.

CONTINUATIONS (Public Hearing and Continue to Date Specified.)

1. <u>336 Daly Avenue – Relocation – Significant Garage and Chicken Coop.</u> <u>The applicant is proposing to relocate the existing historic garage and chicken coop to the south side of the property</u>.

Chair White opened the public hearing. There were no comments. Chair White closed the public hearing.

MOTION: Board Stephens moved to CONTINUE 336 Daly Avenue to February 1, 2017. Board Member Holmgren seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

NOTE: The public hearing on 336 Daly Avenue was re-opened at the end of the agenda to hear public input from a member of the public who had missed the public hearing.

Regular Agenda – Discussion and Possible Action

<u>664 Woodside Avenue – Historic District Design Review – Material Deconstruction of non-historic stacked stone retaining walls, 2009 wooden staircase, 2009 standing seam metal roof, c.1900 extant chimneys on the east and west sides of the house; c.1940 Bricktex siding; c.1900 stacked stone and c.1920 concrete block foundation; c.1950 porch railings; seven (7) historic doors; c.1920 wood windows; and foundation of garage.</u> (Application PL-16-03330)

Planner Grahn stated that this property is unique because it was previously owned by the City and a historic preservation façade easement was recorded on the property. In addition to the HPB review, this application would also be reviewed with the City Council to make sure it meets the intent of the preservation easement. The application was currently under a Historic District Design Review. Planner Grahn noted that the City Council approved the plat amendment for this application last week; however, the plat had not yet been recorded. Recording the plat will be a condition prior to obtaining a building permit.

Planner Grahn reported that the house was built in 1885 and was occupied by a family with 12 children. By 1900 a wing was added to the house to make an L-shape design, which was common at the turn of the century. As tastes changed and families grew, many times a wing would be added to the house and it would change from being a hall-parlor into a T-shaped cottage. Planner Grahn stated that the house shape primarily remained throughout the years, but originally there was a building that consumed the entire side of this house, as well as the neighbor behind it. She pointed out differences in foundation that the Staff believes substantiates that determination. Planner Grahn was unsure if the foundation was added or just replaced. She stated that the wrap-around porch was introduced before 1929. By that time the original building had been replaced by the house that exists today, and the National Garage known as High West. Planner Grahn presented a photo from 1941 showing that the house had remained the same.

Planner Grahn presented a site plan. She noted that the highlights in red were existing concrete and stone retaining walls, a pair of stairs that the City installed in 2009, and other non-historic improvements that the applicant was proposing to remove and rebuild. Planner Grahn indicated areas on City property that would be regraded and repaired as development of the house occurs. The existing standing seam metal roof will be replaced with architectural asphalt shingles. Two new dormers will be added on the back of the building and below the ridge of the roof. The dormers are fairly small and in scale with the small house.

Planner Grahn pointed to two chimneys on the house. The Staff found that the first chimney was more of a primary chimney that was decorative and was

intended to be seen on the east-west cross wing of the house. The second chimney is behind the eve on the back of the house. The applicant was proposing to reconstruct the first chimney. The second chimney would be demolished. Any salvageable material will be used to rebuild the first chimney.

Planner Grahn remarked that the exterior walls are currently clad in an asbestos Bricktex, which was probably installed in the 1940s. Historic siding can be seen underneath. The applicant had not yet done an exploratory demolition on this house. Therefore, a lot of what they know is based on assumption and what they see in other houses. The Staff will assess the condition of the wood siding once the Bricktex has been removed. For that reason, a condition of approval was added stating that the Historic Preservation Planner will make sure the severity of deterioration justifies replacing any of the material in kind.

Planner Grahn presented a picture showing the size of the transitional element that would be added to the north side of the house. It is beyond the mid-point and close to the back of the house. Planner Grahn stated that the foundation is partially stone and partially wood and concrete block. The Staff would work with the applicant in an effort to salvage some of the stone and reuse is on the foundation to keep its current character.

Planner Grahn commented on the wrap-around porch and, noted that the applicant proposes to brace the porch to lift it up. However, the porch floor has been modified over the years. Part of it is concrete because it sits directly on the ground. As it goes above grade, it turns into wood decking. The applicant was proposing to replace the wood decking. Planner Grahn was unsure whether the posts are historic, but the railing is definitely not historic. The applicant was proposing to restore the porch to a more traditional appearance, similar to what is seen in Old Town.

Planner Grahn noted that there are four historic doors on the building; two of which are on the front, with very ornate screen doors. The applicant would like to replace all of the doors on the site. The Staff thought two of the four doors could be restored and kept in place. However, they were asking the HPB to make that decision. The other two doors are in the back of the house and are not visible. Changing or modifying those doors would have minimal impact on the historic character of the site. The Staff was requesting that the HPB also discuss that issue.

Planner Grahn stated that the windows on this house were modified, but she was unsure when they were modified. Originally, the house would not have had the Chicago-style windows that exist. However, because the interior walls and siding have not been removed, it was difficult to say what ghost lines they will find. A condition of approval was added indicating that once the Staff determines how this house is put together, they will look at the windows and take measurements from those ghost lines to determine what the original configuration was on the façade and the sides visible from Woodside Avenue. Planner Grahn noted that the red color indicated the windows that were proposed to be replaced. The blue color represented new window openings.

Planner Grahn pointed to the historic garage on the very southernmost part of the property. It is actually half into the neighbor's property. The structure is a simple wood frame garage. The applicant was proposing to clean up the garage, put a foundation underneath it, add a service door on the back, add windows, and replace the existing window. The Staff felt the proposed changes were appropriate because it would not destroy the architectural features or the historic character of the garage.

Board Member Hodgkins asked Planner Grahn to point out the garage on the site plan. Planner Grahn indicated the garage location and noted that it was partially on the 664 Woodside property, partially on the neighboring property, and partially on City property.

Board Member Stephens asked if the garage would be moved. Planner Grahn replied that it would remain in its current location. As part of the plat amendment process, the Staff asked the applicant to provide an encroachment agreement for the garage with both the City and the neighbor to the south.

Planner Grahn reviewed the doors again and requested input from the Board. She thought the front and side doors were either original to the building, or fit with the period of the building. Because the doors appear to be historic, Planner Grahn thought they should make an effort to preserve and maintain them. The kitchen door and the doors on the backside of the house are less visible and do not play as much into the historic character of the building. Planner Grahn noted that the applicant would like to replace all the doors for energy efficiency; however, the Staff encourages keeping the two she mentioned.

Jonathan DeGray, the project architect, stated that the door on the front is quite frail and thin, and it has a single-pane glass panel. It is the only one of the three doors that would be operable, and he felt it was important to make it as good as possible moving forward. Mr. DeGray explained that the other two doors will be faux panels, so the doors could be reused and integrated into the siding to appear as they exist today. Mr. DeGray stated that if the Board prefers to save the door, he was willing to make that effort to help move things along. He suggested a condition of approval where Planner Grahn would relook at the door and he could propose a method of preservation.

Chair White referred to the door shown on the lower right-hand elevation, and asked if it was an existing door, and whether it was similar to the front door. Mr. DeGray replied that it was similar in size and design, but it would be a faux

door. Chair White clarified that the only operable door would be the front door. Mr. DeGray answered yes. Board Member Beatlebrox asked about the condition of the door that will be a faux door. Mr. DeGray stated that it appears to be the one that was used the most to enter the house. He recalled that it was in fairly good condition.

Director Erickson asked if the doors could be switched. Planner Grahn thought they could be switched if it that would help. Mr. DeGray suggested that Planner Grahn visit the site again to look at all of the doors and determine which ones should be kept and which ones could be moved around. He noted that all the doors were decorative, and were the same four-panel with the two top lights.

Board Member Stephens asked if the operable door would be the main entrance to the home. Mr. DeGray stated that it was actually the master bedroom. Mr. Stephens agreed with Mr. DeGray that a 100+ year old door can be repaired, but if it is used often, they would need to take it apart and re-glue it.

Planner Grahn suggested that they echo the condition used for the foundation for the doors. She drafted the condition to read, "The applicant shall work with the Historic Preservation Planner to determine whether or not the doors on the historic house can be salvaged and re-used as operable doors, or as a faux door veneer as part of the rehabilitation".

Chair White had read the Staff report and he complimented the Staff and the Architect on the plans for this house and how they intend to do it. Board Member Beatlebrox was comfortable with the proposal presented. Board Member Holmgren concurred.

Board Member Hodgkins commented on the windows and asked if Planner Grahn intended to look at the windows to see if any were historic. Planner Grahn explained that when the Bricktex is removed and they gut the interior, it will be easier to see when a window is added and what the original opening might have been. When that is uncovered, the Staff will measure the window and Mr. DeGray will add a supplemental addendum to the historic preservation plan and physical conditions report showing what was uncovered. The Staff would also measure to determine what type of replacement windows should be used to return it to its original appearance. Planner Grahn clarified that the intent is to restore the original openings.

Chair White opened the public hearing.

There were no comments.

Chair White closed the public hearing.

MOTION: Board Member Beatlebrox moved to APROVE the material deconstruction of non-historic and non-contributory materials at 664 Woodside Avenue, pursuant to the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Conditions of Approval found in the Staff report and as amended to have the preservation planner review the location and placement of the historic doors. Board Member Holmgren seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

Finding of Fact – 664 Woodside Avenue

1. The property is located at 664 Woodside Avenue.

2. The site is designated as Significant on the Historic Sites Inventory.

3. Based on Sanborn Fire Insurance map analysis, the house was likely constructed c.1885 by Caroline K. Snyder. After her death, her son Frank Snyder constructed a gable addition to the north, converting the house from a hall-parlor to a cross-wing or a T-Cottage by Addition. It is unknown whether the original one-story dwelling depicted in the 1889 Sanborn map was demolished and replaced by a cross-wing house in 1900 of if the cross-wing form was created by an addition.

4. The —T-cottage by addition was created by adding a cross-wing to one end of the rectangular cabin. The T-shape or cross-wing cottage was a popular house form in Park City during the 1880s and 1890s.

5. By 1929, the porch was extended to wrap-around to the east (rear) elevation of the structure and a new concrete block foundation was constructed along the north elevation.

6. The house remained largely unchanged in the 1941 Sanborn Map.

7. On September 7, 2016, the Planning Department received a Historic District Design Review (HDDR) application for the renovation of the historic house and construction of an addition to its north; the application was deemed complete on September 26, 2016. The HDDR application is still under review by the Planning Department. The applicant is proposing to remove a c. 2009 wooden staircase constructed by the City, stone retaining walls, non-historic fences, a boulder retaining wall associated with a Water Department drainage pipe, and additional improvements that are located in the Woodside Avenue right-of-way as well as a concrete retaining wall along the east property line, shared with High West. The proposed exterior changes to the non-historic improvements in the right-of-way and within the property will not damage or destroy the exterior architectural

features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.

8. Currently, the original roof form is covered in a standing-seam metal roof that was installed by the City in 2009; heat tape was added in 2012. The applicant is proposing to remove the standing seam metal roof and install a new architectural grade shingle roof. The proposed material deconstruction is required for the rehabilitation of the historic house.

9. The applicant is also proposing to construct two shed dormers on the east (rear) elevation of the house in order to provide additional living space in the attic. The proposed changes will not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site.

10. There are two existing brick chimneys on the house. The first is on the eastwest cross gable where the hall-parlor form meets the stem wing. The second chimney is on the east (rear) elevation of the house. Both chimneys show signs of damaged bricks and mortar deterioration.

11. The applicant is proposing to reconstruct chimney #1 as a faux chimney in its original location and utilizing its existing bricks. The proposed material deconstruction of Chimney #1 is necessary for the restoration and reconstruction of the chimney.

12. Chimney #2 will be demolished. The proposed demolition of Chimney #2 will not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work. The exterior walls are covered with asbestos Bricktex siding that was likely added c.1940 when low-maintenance siding became popular. The historic drop-novelty siding exists beneath the Bricktex siding; however, it is unclear how much of the siding is salvageable. The proposed work is necessary to restore the original wood siding.

13. On the north elevation of the house, the applicant will be removing approximately 4.5 feet in length of the wall to accommodate the transition element to the new addition. The removal of this historic material is necessary in order to rehabilitate the building and construct the new addition.

14. The foundation appears to have been constructed in two parts, supporting the theory that there was no foundation beneath the north addition prior to 1900 and that the foundation was constructed after the livery was removed c.1927. This is substantiated by the use of a stacked sandstone foundation on the south side of the house, beneath the original hall-parlor form. The north side has a cement block foundation, and cement block would have been readily available in

the 1920s. The proposed work of adding a new foundation is necessary for the rehabilitation of the historic house.

15. The existing posts may be original; however, the railings were likely added after 1950 to replace the original railings. The porch floor consists of concrete and 1x wood flooring. The applicant proposes to brace the existing porch roof and temporarily lift it with the house when the foundation is poured. The applicant will evaluate the existing roof framing and repair and replace the structural members as needed. The applicant anticipates constructing a new wood porch floor once the house is set on its new foundation. The proposed work is necessary in order to rehabilitate the historic house and restore the porch to its c.1907-1920 appearance.

16. The applicant's Physical Conditions Report notes that there are seven total historic wood doors on the house. The applicant proposes to create faux doors on the south and west elevation as these doors will no longer be the primary entrance to the building. On the east (rear) elevation, the applicant proposes to remove an existing door which has been permanently closed and install a new door to the north. The door on the basement level will also be removed. It is unclear if these doors are historic to the house or if they have been added over time. The proposal is necessary to rehabilitate the house.

17. The window openings seen today were likely introduced in the 1920s in an effort to introduce more contemporary bungalow-inspired elements into the house. Any traces of original window openings are likely concealed beneath the Bricktex siding and the dry-wall and paneled interior walls. The windows are in varying degrees of poor condition with evidence of broken glass panes, wood rot, boarded window openings, and a missing window at the attic level. the proposed changes to the existing window configuration are necessary to rehabilitate the historic house. Any modifications to the original and/or existing window configuration on the east (rear) elevation will not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.

18. The garage was designated —Significant on the Historic Sites Inventory and is in overall good shape. It is a wood frame structure with no foundation; however, it does have framed walls and roof with plywood sheathing. The applicant intends to maintain the existing structure and place it on a new foundation. The proposed work is required for the renovation of the garage. The applicant's proposal to temporarily relocate the structure will mitigate to the greatest extant practical and impact to the historical importance of other structures located on the property and on adjacent parcels.

19. The applicant also proposes to remove an existing window on the east (rear)

elevation of the garage and construct a new window opening and construct a new service door on the east half of the garage's north (side) elevation. The proposed changes will not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.

Conclusions of Law – 664 Woodside Avenue

1. The proposal complies with the Land Management Code requirements pursuant to the HR-M District and regarding historic structure deconstruction and reconstruction.

Conditions of Approval – 664 Woodside Avenue

1. Final building plans and construction details shall reflect substantial compliance with the HDDR proposal stamped in on November 16, 2016. Any changes, modifications, or deviations from the approved design that have not been approved by the Planning and Building Departments may result in a stop work order.

2. Where the historic exterior materials cannot be repaired, they will be replaced with materials that match the original in all respects: scale, dimension, texture, profile, material and finish. Prior to replacement, the applicant shall demonstrate to the Historic Preservation Planner that the materials are no longer safe and/or serviceable and cannot be repaired to a safe and/or serviceable condition.

3. Following removal of the non-historic Bricktex siding, the applicant shall update his Historic Preservation Plan with a conditions report of the original wood siding. Deteriorated or damaged historic wood siding shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of the deterioration or material defects requires replacement, the applicant shall demonstrate the severity of the deterioration to the Historic Preservation Planner for approval of its replacement in-kind.

4. The applicant shall work with the Historic Preservation Planner to determine whether or not the stone on the foundation of the historic house can be salvaged and reused as a veneer for the new foundation. If the material is found to be in such poor condition that it cannot be salvaged, the applicant shall replace shall reconstruct the foundation with a stacked stone veneer matching the original in design, dimension, texture, material, and finish.

5. The historic door openings, doors, and door surrounds visible from the Woodside Avenue right-of-way shall be maintained and preserved.

6. Following removal of the non-historic Bricktex siding, the applicant shall update his Historic Preservation Plan with a conditions report detailing the locations of original window openings. The applicant shall base any window modifications on the façade (west elevation) or secondary facades (north and south elevations) that will be visible from the Woodside Avenue right-of-way on physical, measured evidence uncovered during the demolition process. Planning staff shall review and approve the updated window configuration based on this new physical evidence.

7. The applicant shall update the façade easement to reflect the conditions of the historic house following the rehabilitation to the satisfaction of the grantee. The updated façade easement shall be recorded at the Summit County Recorder's Office.

8. The applicant shall work with the Historic Preservation Planner to determine whether or not the doors on the historic house can be salvaged and re-used as operable doors, or as a faux door veneer as part of the rehabilitation.

2. <u>Annual Preservation Award – Staff recommends the Historic</u> <u>Preservation choose one (1) awardee for the annual Preservation</u> <u>Award, choose up to four (4) nominees for a historic plaque, and</u> <u>select three (3) members to form an Artist Selection Committee.</u> (Application GI-15-02972)

Planner Grahn reported that the Board needed to choose their annual Preservation Award for projects that were completed in 2016 or earlier. She noted that last year the HPB spent time revising the program and introducing plaques for up to five awardees. A painting or another art piece is commissioned for the primary awardee. Planner Grahn presented a list of nominees and encouraged the Board members to add additional nominees if they had a particular project in mind.

Planner Grahn requested that three members of the HPB volunteer to be on an artist selection committee. The intent is to have everything completed and ready to present to the City Council in May, which is National Historic Preservation Month.

Planner Grahn named the suggested nominees. The first was 264 Ontario Avenue. This house had very few alterations; however, the house faces McHenry and abuts Ontario Avenue. Therefore, they were able to accommodate a substantial addition without detracting from the historic house. Planner Grahn commented on the actually work that was done as, outlined in the Staff report.

The second nominee was 81 King Road. Planner Grahn stated that per the Historic Site Inventory form, the house was clad in wood shake shingles. The

shingles were removed and the siding was repaired or replaced to match the original siding. The wood windows were repaired and replaced and an addition was added. Planner Grahn noted that the house is close to King Road, but she thought they did a nice job of finding a way to incorporate parking and still have an addition that blends well with the historic house.

The third nominee was 257 McHenry. Planner Grahn noted that this house had a Notice and Order in 2013/2014. It was in terrible condition. They had to remove a lot of the additions and the boards were rotted. There were multiple levels of wood siding, as well as asbestos siding, and boarded windows. The house was reconstructed and a new addition was added to the side. Planner Grahn believed it was a great addition to Old Town and it looks much like it did historically.

The fourth nominee was 1102 Norfolk Avenue. Planner Grahn reported that this project was a unique situation because the staircase was originally a right-of-way and a road. Prior to changes to the LMC, they were able to lift the house and rotate it. The Historic Preservation Board had also reviewed the historical significance of this addition prior to commencing the work, and found that it was not historic to the original house. She showed photos of what it looked like across Norfolk and what it looks like today. A garage was added with a transitional element.

The last project was the California Comstock Mill. Planner Grahn reported that Vail contributed \$50,000 as part of the conditions of the CUP application and the ongoing work to preserve the mine sites. The \$50,000 was invested in stabilizing the structure. Planner Grahn presented images showing what the structure looked like historically, in the 1970s, and its condition when they began work this summer. Clark Martinez with the excavation company, and a former Park City resident, craned out the salvageable members, removed a lot of the debris, and was able to start reconstructing the walls. Mr. Martinez also found an old crusher. The amount of work was significant, and the stabilization of the wood frame timber structure will help move forward with preservation. The Park City Museum has talked about investing funds to stabilization also makes sure that it does not shift and push over the front piece. It was a large and complicated project.

Planner Grahn believed that as the Friends of the Ski Area Mining History continue to fundraise, there will be enough money to further work on the project. At this point they have done all they could do with the funds they had.

Board Member Beatlebrox thought they should have a painting of the California Comstock Mill when it is much more substantial. Planner Grahn stated that more work might be done, but she did not believe it would ever be restored to its original appearance. Ms. Beatlebrox was pleased with the work that had been done.

Board Member Bealtebrox liked the five candidates chosen by the Staff. She asked if the other Board members were comfortable with those five, or if there were others to consider.

Board Member Hodgkins asked if the California Comstock Mill was actually in Park City. Planner Grahn replied that it was a unique situation. It is located on the Historic Sites Inventory as part of the Park City mining era. However, it is actually right outside the City limits and in the annexation boundary, as well as being in Summit County. It could qualify for the award.

David White, Lola Beatlebrox, and Puggy Holmgren volunteered for the selection committee.

Director Erickson believed the candidates selected illustrates how far they have come with the application of the Guidelines. They have four good candidates, plus the California Comstock Mill. He thought that was partly due to the work of the HPB and the Preservation Planners. Director Erickson noted that the entire ordinance was reconstituted on material deconstruction and half of the Historic District Guidelines have been revised. He believed they were beginning to see the results of that effort. Board Member Stephens noted that there were good historic projects coming forward that would be excellent candidates for next year.

Board Member Beatlebrox had a fondness for the Ontario project because she recalled the grant application process and how long it took the Board to reach a decision. The owners were very fervent in wanting to create something special, and as the project moved forward they did additional repairs they had not counted on. Ms. Beatlebrox liked all the projects suggested and it was hard to choose between them.

Board Member Hodgkins was impressed with the McHenry project because of its original condition, and the fact that the owner even considered a preservation project. For the same reason, he was impressed with the California Comstock Mill. He thought that was a good project to champion because of the amount of work. It would publicize that the HPB supports the mining industry. He asked if an award recipient had ever been mining related. Planner Grahn answered no.

Board Member Stephens agreed that the McHenry project has been ongoing for years. Mr. Stephens liked the idea of bringing some attention to the mining structures. Ms. Beatlebrox agreed. The Mine would be a different type of painting from the typical garage or house. Chair White concurred.

Board Member Holmgren was leaning towards the California Comstock for the painting. Chair White also favored the California Comstock.

Director Erickson stated that the Board could select all five of the named projects for the award, and nominate one of the five for the painting.

Chair White believed there was consensus by the Board to nominate the Comstock Mine for the painting.

MOTION: Board Member Holmgren moved to select 264 Ontario Avenue, 81 King Road, 257 McHenry, 1102 Norfolk and the California Comstock Mill for outstanding historic preservation work in 2016; and to commission a painting for the California Comstock Mill. Board Member Beatlebrox seconded the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

Board Member Beatlebrox noted that she had sent the Board members an invitation to a Santa party she was having on December 17th. She requested that they RSVP to her email invitation. She clarified that it was a social event and City business would not be discussed.

Director Erickson stated that a member of the public wanted to comment on 336 Daly Avenue.

336 Daly Avenue

Chair White re-opened the public hearing on the Continuation of 336 Daly Avenue.

Delphine Comp, a resident at 61 Daly Avenue, saw the notice about this meeting a few days ago. Ms. Comp stated that she, her husband, and a few neighbors believe that relocating the structure would destroy it completely. If the owners want to do something with the structure it should be restored in its original location.

Board Member Beatlebrox asked why Ms. Comp and her neighbors think relocating the house would destroy it completely. Ms. Comp commented on the current condition of the home. It was falling apart and she did not believe it could be moved somewhere else and still be the same.

Chair White thought Ms. Comp would be surprised at what could be done if it is done correctly. Ms. Comp was also concerned that the historic house would be moved and replaced with a monster house. She thought it would open the door to having another monster house on Daly Avenue, which the neighbors oppose.

Chair White closed the public hearing.

Chair White asked for an update on the McPolin Barn. Planner Turpen reported that she had done her final inspection earlier that day. She was not able to pass the inspection at this time because the north addition did not have the roof on. Once the roof is in place, she will be able to sign off on it. Planner Turpen was pleased with how it looks. The interior work looked good. The structural members that were installed blend in, but you can still tell the difference between the old and the new, which is very important. When all the work is completed, they would schedule an event where the HPB could see the results of what they approved and recommended to the City Council.

Planner Grahn presented a photo showing the steel beams and how much it opened up the hayloft in the barn. The floors were recovered with plywood. A new staircase was built, but the old staircase was preserved and stored, which matched the Secretary of the Interior standards.

Planner Turpen walked through the key points of her inspection and showed corresponding photos. Planner Grahn stated that Hogan Construction rebuilt all of the wood windows to match the historic wood windows.

Chair White thanked the Staff for the update, and expressed an interest in visiting the Barn at the appropriate time. Board Member Beatlebrox asked to see the painting. Planner Grahn replied that if the Board would agree not go upstairs all together as a quorum, she would take the painting out of the box so they could see it.

The meeting adjourned at 6:00 p.m.

Approved by _

David White, Chair Historic Preservation Board

Memo to the Historic Preservation Board



Application #:PL-16-03189PLANNSubject:336 Daly AvenueAuthor:Anya Grahn, Historic Preservation PlannerDate:February 1, 2017Type of Item:Relocation of a Significant Garage and Material
Deconstruction of the Garage

The applicant has requested that staff continue the item to a date uncertain in order to provide them additional time to work through details with the owner.

The Park City Building Department issued a Notice and Order to Repair the garage and single-cell cabin on August 29, 2016. The Notice and Order outlines issues such as stress in materials due to dead and live loads; members or appurtenances that are likely to fail, become detached, or collapse; building not meeting window pressure; wracking, warping and buckling of walls; potential collapse of entire structure; as well as its poor condition as to constitute a public nuisance. The Building and Planning Departments have prioritized this project in an effort to ensure the preservation of these Mining Era ruins.



Historic Preservation Board Staff Report

Planning Department

Author:	Ashley Scarff, Planning Technician
Subject:	Material Deconstruction Review (Single-Family Dwelling)
Address:	1063 Empire Avenue
Project Number:	PL-16-03154
Date:	February 1, 2017
Type of Item:	Administrative – Material Deconstruction

Summary Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Historic Preservation Board (HPB) reviews and discusses the application, conducts a public hearing, and approves the Material Deconstruction of non-historic and non-contributory materials at 1063 Empire Avenue pursuant to the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions of approval. This site is listed as Significant on the City's Historic Sites Inventory (HSI).

Topic:

Address:	1063 Empire Avenue
Designation:	Significant
Applicant:	West of 3 rd LLC, represented by Jonathan DeGray, Architect
Proposal:	Demolition of non-historic foundation elements, restoration of full-width
	front porch with restoration of street-facing entryway and original roof
	form, reconstruction of non-historic wood deck, restoration of original
	window and door openings, removal of non-historic rear addition,
	removal of rear dormer and portion of historic walls, removal of historic
	chimney, cutting of concrete retaining wall

Background:

On August 15, 2016, the Planning Department received a Historic District Design Review (HDDR) application for the property at 1063 Empire Avenue. The application was deemed complete on August 29, 2016. The HDDR application has not yet been approved, as it is dependent on HPB's decision after the review of proposed Material Deconstruction.

Despite multiple alterations being made to this site over time, there have been very few building permit or planning applications submitted for work at the property. In 1992, a Building Permit was issued for the construction of a deck addition to the south of the structure. In 2003, a Building Permit was issued for demolition work on the same deck area at the south side of the structure. In 2004, the plat was amended to create the Floden Subdivision, which combined Lots 14, 15, and 16, Block 28 of the Snyder's Addition to the Park City Survey into two (2) lots of record.

1063 Empire Avenue Developmental History:

The 1063 Empire Avenue property is designated as a Significant Site on the Park City Historic Sites Inventory (HSI). Development on this property has potentially spanned

across three of Park City's designated Historic eras, including the Settlement and Mining Boom Era (1868-1893), the Mature Mining Era (1894-1930), and the Mining Decline and Emergence of Recreation Industry Era (1931-1962). The Historic Sites Form notes the Era of Significance as the Mature Mining Era (1894-1930). Staff has summarized the developmental history in this section of the report by highlighting the major alterations and evidence that exists today as it relates to the proposed Material Deconstruction.

Sources have conflicting dates of construction listed for the single-family dwelling, but John Sweatfield, original owner, purchased the northern half of Lot 15 and all of Lot 16 in 1892, which may be an indicator of year of construction. The Summit County recorder lists the year of construction as 1904. As can be seen in the Sanborn maps below, the pyramid house has largely retained its original form, with minor additions made over time.

1063 Empire Avenue was outside of the 1889 Sanborn boundary, but appears on the 1900 Sanborn (Figure A) as a one-story, wood-framed structure with a shingled roof (likely wood), front porch, and rear L-shape addition. One unidentified, one-story out-building, one two-story stable structure, and one, one-story poultry out-building are also shown on site to the rear of the single-family dwelling.



Figure A. 1900 Sanborn Fire Insurance Map

The rear L-shaped addition that can be seen in the 1900 map was removed by 1907, and the rear portion of the house was enlarged (Figures A and B). This is evident as the house is separated from the shed by 15 feet (15') throughout its history, and there would have been greater separation if the L-shaped rear addition was removed and no other additions made. The third owners of the property, Timothy and Ellen Sugrue, took out a mortgage in 1906, which may have been to facilitate this expansion. In addition, the one-story poultry out-building was demolished by 1907—the other two out-buildings remained.

Figure B. 1907 Sanborn Fire Insurance Map



The 1929 and 1941 (Figure C) Sanborn Maps look largely the same as the 1907 map, but the main dwelling is shown as having a composition roof, rather than the shingled roof shown previously. The ca. 1940s tax photo shows a type of fish scale shingle. Available evidence indicates that the overall form and number of out-buildings on site remained the same as before.





The two (2) most significant modifications to the overall form of the structure are the enclosure of portions of the front porch in order to gain interior space. Sometime after the 1941 tax photograph and before 1981 (See Figure D), the north half of the porch was enclosed to create living space. In doing so, the front door was relocated from the façade of the house to the south wall of the porch enclosure, changing the orientation of the entrance. The addition was clad in drop novelty wood siding to match the existing house. Additionally, two (2) large leaded glass windows with transoms on the front of the house were lost when this portion of the porch was enclosed, with the left window opening remaining, but with altered dimensions.

Figure D. ca. 1940s Tax Photo and ca. 1981 photo showing northern front porch addition



The second porch enclosure becomes apparent when comparing the ca. 1981, 1995, and 2006 photos (southeast corner of house is blocked in 1940s photo by a shrub). The 1981 photo shows a wrap-around porch on the southeast corner, and the existence of a second entry door that faces the street. The addition, which enclosed the abovementioned inset porch and created a new, south-facing entryway, is not obvious (but exists) in the 1995 photo, and is clear in the 2006 photo (Figure E). A vertical board to the left of the large divided-light picture window likely marks where the building wall once terminated. It also appears that the original wood porch skirt was replaced with a new concrete foundation between the 1940s and 1981.



Figure E. Front façade in 1995 and 2006

Sometime after the 1941 Sanborn Fire Insurance map, the rear shed addition was expanded on the southwest corner (rear) of the house to extend a portion of the c.1906 addition. Based on the staff's analysis, this addition was likely constructed in the 1950s and 1960s as its construction is consistent with other additions made at this time in Park City. The addition is built of concrete blocks.

In addition, the retaining wall that lines the street front was changed from wood railroad ties to concrete by 1995, and several fixed and double-hung windows present in the 1995 photo were replaced with larger vinyl sliders by 2006. A large deck to the south of the structure is first seen in the 1995 photo, but is reduced in size by the 2006 photo (likely altered in 2003, according to City building permit files).

Furthermore, the 2009 Historic Site Form does not indicate any extant accessory buildings, and those shown in the earlier Sanborn Fire Insurance maps appear to have been demolished between 1941 and 2006. There is currently one non-historic accessory building on site, which will be demolished before construction.

In more recent history, the single-family dwelling at 1063 Empire Avenue has undergone formal and material changes that have largely changed its appearance, specifically:

- Enclosure of northern half of front porch, which created additional living space and altered the original porch roof (occurred between 1941 and 1981)
- Enclosure of the wrap-around porch on the southeast side of the house, which created additional living space (occurred between 1981 and 1995)
- Small rear concrete block wall addition with 4:12 shed roof to provide extra space on main level (occurred after 1941 Sanborn)
- Modification of historic window openings to create a more contemporary appearance, such as the installation of divided-light picture windows between 1940 and 1981, and the relocation of the front door

Analysis: Material Deconstruction

The following Material Deconstruction work is proposed for the single-family dwelling at 1063 Empire Avenue:

- Secure existing structure for lift, remove non-historic foundation elements, build new concrete foundation, re-position and anchor home on new foundation with full basement and garage
- Restoration of full-width front porch (enclosure occurred between 1941 and 1981) to restore the Period of Significance and Historic Form, with reinstitution of street-facing entryway and original roof form
- Restoring original window and door openings (HPB discussion requested)
- Reconstruction of non-historic wood deck
- Removal of small rear concrete masonry wall addition with 4:12 shed roof (occurred post-1941 Sanborn)
- Removal of rear dormer (date of construction unknown; architect estimates ca. 1980s) and approximately sixteen linear feet (16') of historic wall to allow for new addition to the rear of the historic house
- Removal of historic chimney located at midpoint of roof due to poor condition (*HPB discussion requested*)
- Cutting of existing concrete retaining wall that fronts the street to provide access to proposed lower level garage

1. Secure structure for lift, remove non-historic foundation elements, build new concrete foundation, re-position and anchor home on new foundation with full basement and garage

Typically, Park City houses were not constructed with foundations, but rather the floor joists sat on stacked stone piers or, more often, directly on the dirt. This house appears to have been raised off of the ground when it was constructed, as is evident by the horizontal wood decking seen in the ca. 1941 photograph. By 1981, a new foundation had been poured to create a basement on the front half of the house.

The applicant is now proposing to remove this non-historic foundation in order to pour a new basement foundation. The applicant proposes to lift the house two feet (2'), as is permitted by the Design Guidelines, in order to pour the new foundation. The new basement addition will provide a one-car garage that is accessible from Empire Avenue.

Staff finds that the pre-1981 foundation is non-contributory to the historic integrity of the historic house and the material deconstruction is required for the rehabilitation of the building.

2. Restoration of full-width front porch (enclosure occurred between 1941 and 1981) to restore the Period of Significance and Historic Form, with reinstitution of original roof form and street-facing entryway

The applicant is proposing to remove the enclosure on the northern half of the front porch in order to restore the original porch form. The restoration will include reconstruction of the porch roof so that it sits below the eave of the historic house as it did in the ca. 1941 tax photograph, and restoration of the street-facing entryway and large picture window with transom. Porch posts and railings will be reconstructed to match those in the historic photograph.



Figure F. Non-historic front porch addition to be removed

Staff finds that the ca. 1981 enclosure of the porch is non-contributory to the historic integrity of the Significant house, and the material deconstruction is required for the restoration of the original full-width front porch.

3. Restoring original window and door openings

As part of the restoration of the full-width front porch, the applicant is proposing to restore the original window and door openings on the front façade of the home. This includes the restoration of a street-facing entryway with transom, as well as two large picture windows with transoms, which can be seen in the ca. 1940s photograph. The applicant is proposing to maintain the non-historic porch enclosure on the south end of the front porch that in-filled the original wrap-around porch; thus, is also proposing to shift the picture window to the left farther south on the façade than it was historically located, to visually balance the openings. *HPB Discussion Requested.* The applicant is also proposing to replace the non-historic vinyl slider windows with wood, double-hung windows on the street-facing dormer, which is a return to the historic style and material.



Figure G. Restoration of original window and door openings; relocation of left picture window

There is also a request to replace an existing small vinyl slider window with a wood casement window on the north façade, and to remove a non-historic window and door on the south façade, and add French doors with transom past the midpoint of the historic façade on that side.



Figure H. Windows and door to be removed or replaced on North and South elevations

Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

Staff finds that the material deconstruction of the current windows and doors is required for the successful restoration and renovation of the building. The addition of the French doors on the south side of the house is beyond the midpoint of the historic house and will not be visible from the right-of-way; staff finds that this proposed exterior changes shall not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.

4. Reconstruction of non-historic wood deck on south side

As part of this renovation, the applicant is proposing to reconstruct an existing nonhistoric wood deck to the south side of the home. The deck will maintain similar dimensions as is existing. Its original date of construction is hard to determine, as the deck area is blocked from view in historic photographs until 1995. City Building Permit files do show that a building permit was issued in 1992 for the construction of a deck on the south side of the home, but the plans show that a portion of the deck was already existing at that time. The deck serves as an extension of the porch.

Staff finds that the non-historic deck is non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the site, and the proposed exterior change will not destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property that are compatible with the historic site.

5. Removal of non-historic rear concrete addition (occurred post-1941 Sanborn)

The applicant is proposing to remove the small rear addition made of concrete block at the southwest corner of the home, in order to make way for the proposed new rear addition. It is estimated that this addition was constructed in the 1950s or 1960s, based on its materials and historic precedent in Park City.

Staff finds that the non-historic rear addition is non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the structure or site.



Figure I. North, South, and West elevations highlighting non-historic rear addition to be removed

6. Removal of rear dormer (date of construction unknown; architect estimates ca. 1980s) and portion of historic walls

The applicant is also proposing to remove the rear dormer and the historic west wall of the ca. 1907 rear addition to make room for the new rear addition. Approximately sixteen linear feet (16') of the historic wall will be removed on the main level, not including the non-historic concrete addition discussed above (#5). Staff has found no evidence of the date of construction of the dormer, as it is not shown in any historic photographs, but the project architect estimates it is ca. 1980s based on the construction materials. Staff does not believe it is historic.

Staff finds that the material deconstruction outlined above is required for the proposed renovation and rehabilitation of the building, structure, or object. Additionally, the proposed scope of work mitigates, to the greatest extent practical, any impacts that would occur to the historical significance and architectural integrity of the building.



Figure J. Rear dormer and portion of historic wall to be removed

7. Removal of historic chimney

The applicant is proposing to remove the existing historic brick chimney due to its poor condition. Photographs dating back to the 1940s show the chimney being located at the midpoint of the roof (not shown on submitted as-built elevations). The physical conditions report notes that the "mortar at the exposed chimney has cracked and several of the bricks are loosely stacked." In the past, the HPB has permitted the removal of secondary chimneys that are located beyond the midpoint of the structure and were not intended to be a character-defining feature of the building. This chimney is located at the center of the house at the top of the pyramid roof form. *HPB Discussion Requested.*

Staff finds that the proposed exterior changes should not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.

Figure K. Historic chimney located at midpoint of roof



8. Cutting portion of concrete retaining wall to build driveway

The renovation of the site includes the pouring of a new concrete foundation to provide a full basement and one-car garage. To provide access to the new garage and make room for a driveway, a portion of the existing non-historic concrete retaining wall that lines the street must be cut back. The 1940s tax photo shows the existence of a street-fronting retaining wall made of wood railroad ties, but by 1995 the wall was reconstructed of concrete. The tax photo from 1981 does not provide a view of the wall area (refer to Figures D and E, above).

Staff finds that the non-historic concrete retaining wall is non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the structure or site, and removing a portion of it to accommodate a driveway is necessary for the rehabilitation of the home.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Historic Preservation Board (HPB) reviews and discusses the application, conduct a public hearing, and approve the Material Deconstruction of non-historic and non-contributory materials at 1063 Empire Avenue pursuant to the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions of approval. This site is listed as Significant on the City's Historic Sites Inventory (HSI).

Findings of Fact:

- 1. The property is located at 1063 Empire Avenue, Lot 1 of the Floden Subdivision.
- 2. The historic site is listed as Significant on the Park City Historic Sites Inventory.
- 3. Sources list conflicting dates of construction of the single-family dwelling, but the original owner purchased the property in 1892. The Summit County Recorder lists the date of construction as 1904.
- 4. The pyramid house has largely retained its original form, with minor additions made over time.
- Development on this property has spanned across three (3) of Park City's designated Historic eras, including the Settlement and Mining Boom Era (1868-1893), the Mature Mining Era (1894-1930), and the Mining Decline and Emergence of Recreation Industry Era (1931-1962).

- 6. The Historic Sites Form notes the Era of Significance as the Mature Mining Era (1894-1930).
- On August 15, 2016, the Planning Department received a Historic District Design Review (HDDR) application for the property at 1063 Empire Avenue. The application was deemed complete on August 29, 2016. The HDDR application is still under review by the Planning Department.
- 8. The applicant is proposing the following Material Deconstruction: Demolition of nonhistoric foundation elements, restoration of full-width front porch with restoration of street-facing entryway and original roof form, reconstruction of non-historic wood deck, restoration of original window and door openings, removal of non-historic rear addition, removal of rear dormer and portion of historic walls, removal of historic chimney, and cutting of concrete retaining wall.
- 9. Staff finds that the pre-1981 concrete foundation is non-contributory to the historic integrity of the historic house and the material deconstruction is required for the rehabilitation of the building.
- 10. Staff finds that the ca. 1981 enclosure to the north of the front porch is noncontributory to the historic integrity of the Significant house, and the material deconstruction is required for the restoration of the original full-width porch.
- 11. Staff finds that the material deconstruction of the current windows and doors is required for the successful restoration and renovation of the building. The addition of the French doors on the south side of the house is beyond the midpoint of the historic house and will not be visible from the right-of-way; staff finds that this proposed exterior changes shall not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.
- 12. Staff finds that the non-historic deck is non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the site, and the proposed exterior change will not destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property that are compatible with the historic site.
- 13. Staff finds that the non-historic rear addition is non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the structure or site.
- 14. Staff finds that the material deconstruction outlined above is required for the proposed renovation and rehabilitation of the building, structure, or object. Additionally, the proposed scope of work mitigates, to the greatest extent practical, any impacts that would occur to the historical significance and architectural integrity of the building.
- 15. Staff finds that the proposed exterior changes should not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.
- 16. Staff finds that the non-historic concrete retaining wall is non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the structure or site, and removing a portion of it to accommodate a driveway is necessary for the rehabilitation of the home.

Conclusions of Law:

1. The proposal complies with the Land Management Code requirements pursuant to the HR-1 District and regarding historic structure deconstruction.

Conditions of Approval:

- 1. Final building plans and construction details shall reflect substantial compliance with the HDDR proposal stamped in on November 3, 2016. Any changes, modifications, or deviations from the approved design that have not been approved by the Planning and Building Departments may result in a stop work order.
- 2. Where the historic exterior materials cannot be repaired, they shall be replaced with materials that match the original in all respects: scale, dimension, texture, profile, material and finish. Prior to removing and replacing historic materials, the applicant shall demonstrate to the Planning Director and Project Planner that the materials are no longer safe and/or serviceable and cannot be repaired to a safe and/or serviceable condition. No historic materials may be disposed of prior to advance approval by the Planning Director and Project Planner.
- 3. Any deviation from approved Material Deconstruction will require review by the Historic Preservation Board.

Exhibits:

- Exhibit A HPB Demolition Review Checklist
- Exhibit B Historic Sites Inventory Form
- Exhibit C Historic District Design Review Historic Preservation Plan (Single-Family Dwelling)
- Exhibit D Historic District Design Review Physical Conditions Report (Single-Family Dwelling)
- Exhibit E Historic District Design Review Existing and Proposed Plans (Single-Family Dwelling)

Exhibit A: HPB Demolition Review Checklist

Historic Preservation Board Material Deconstruction Review Checklist:

- 1. Routine Maintenance (including repair or replacement where there is no change in the design, materials, or general appearance of the elements of the structure or grounds) does not require Historic Preservation Board Review (HPBR).
- 2. The material deconstruction is required for the renovation, restoration, or rehabilitation of the building, structure, or object.
- 3. Proposed exterior changes shall not damage or destroy the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic site and are not included in the proposed scope of work.
- 4. The proposed scope of work mitigates any impacts that will occur to the visual character of the neighborhood where material deconstruction is proposed to occur; any impacts that will occur to the historical significance of the buildings, structures, or objects located on the property; any impact that will occur to the architectural integrity of the buildings, structures, or objects located on the property; and any impact that will compromise the structural stability of the historic building.
- 5. The proposed scope of work mitigates to the greatest extent practical any impact to the historical importance of other structures located on the property and on adjacent parcels.
- 6. Any addition to a Historic Building, Site, or Structure has been found to be non-contributory to the historic integrity or historical significance of the structure or site.

HISTORIC SITE FORM - HISTORIC SITES INVENTORY

PARK CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION (10-08)

1 IDENTIFICATION

Name of Property:

Address: 1063 EMPIRE AVE

City, County: Park City, Summit County, Utah

AKA:

Tax Number: FLODEN-1

Current Owner Name: MURPHY RORY

Parent Parcel(s): SA-296; SA-297

Current Owner Address: 2440 IRON MOUNTAIN DR; PARK CITY, UT 84060-6559 *Legal Description (include acreage):* LOT 1 FLODEN SUBDIVISION CONT 2812 SQ FT OR 0.06 AC

2 STATUS/USE

Property Category ☑ building(s), main □ building(s), attached □ building(s), detached □ building(s), public □ building(s), accessory □ structure(s)	<u>Evaluation*</u> ☐ Landmark Site ☑ Significant Site ☐ Not Historic *National Register of ☐ listed (date:)	<u>Reconstruction</u> Date: Permit #: □ Full □ Partial Historic Places: ☑ ine	<u>Use</u> Original Use: Residential Current Use: Residential
3 DOCUMENTATION			
<u>Photos: Dates</u> ☑ tax photo: ☑ prints: ☐ historic: c. <u>Drawings and Plans</u> ☐ measured floor plans ☐ site sketch map ☐ Historic American Bldg. Sur ☐ original plans: ☐ other:	□ abstract of □ tax card □ original bu □ sewer per ☑ Sanborn M □ obituary in □ city directo vey □ census red	t title ilding permit mit Aaps dex ories/gazetteers cords cal encyclopedias	 ces consulted, whether useful or not) i city/county histories i personal interviews i Utah Hist. Research Center i USHS Preservation Files i USHS Architects File i LDS Family History Library i Park City Hist. Soc/Museum i university library(ies): i other:

Bibliographical References (books, articles, interviews, etc.) Attach copies of all research notes and materials.

Blaes, Dina & Beatrice Lufkin. "Final Report." Park City Historic Building Inventory. Salt Lake City: 2007. Carter, Thomas and Goss, Peter. *Utah's Historic Architecture, 1847-1940: a Guide.* Salt Lake City, Utah:

University of Utah Graduate School of Architecture and Utah State Historical Society, 1991.

McAlester, Virginia and Lee. A Field Guide to American Houses. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1998.

Roberts, Allen. "Final Report." Park City Reconnaissance Level Survey. Salt Lake City: 1995.

Roper, Roger & Deborah Randall. "Residences of Mining Boom Era, Park City - Thematic Nomination." National Register of Historic Places Inventory, Nomination Form. 1984.

4 ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION & INTEGRITY

Building Type and/or Style: Hipped Roof of "Pyramid" House	No. Stories: 1 1/2			
Additions: none minor major (describe below) Alterations: none minor	Major (describe below)			
Number of associated outbuildings and/or structures: accessory building(s), #; structure(s), #;				
General Condition of Exterior Materials:				

Good (Well maintained with no serious problems apparent.)

□ Fair (Some problems are apparent. Describe the problems.):

Describe the problems are apparent and constitute an imminent threat. Describe the problems.):

□ Uninhabitable/Ruin

Materials (The physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time in a particular pattern or configuration. Describe the materials.):

Site: Cement retaining wall and entry stairway

Foundation: Appears to be cement, but unable to verify based on photo alone

Walls: Drop-novelty wood siding and tri; unable to verify if any of the exterior siding is original. Wooden porch supports and railings

Roof: Metal shingle material.

Windows: Sliding vinyl windows

Location: ☑ Original Location □ Moved (date _____) Original Location:

Design (The combination of physical elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style. Describe additions and/or alterations from the original design, including dates--known or estimated--when alterations were made): Full front porch in tax photo has been altered by 1995 photo as half of porch has been built out onto for interior purposes. This shift has altered the front door entrance from front-facing to side-facing, yet it is still oriented towards porch access. Essence of historical character of form remains, despite significant changes in window shape and style, roofing material, and porch railing detail. Large deck has been constructed to the south of the main building.

Setting (The physical environment--natural or manmade--of a historic site. Describe the setting and how it has changed over time.): Narrow building lot exhibits a slight slope downwards towards the front elevation of property. Wooden beam retaining wall seen in tax photo has been altered to a cement retaining wall by 1995 photo. House is recessed at least 20 feet from street edge of property, with planted natural shrubs and grasses throughout the landscape. The lillac bush on corner of front elevation appears in all photos available. The 1907 Sanborn map indicates a large accessory building to the rear of the house. Its current condition--if extant--was not assessed for the purposes of this site form.

Workmanship (The physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during a given period in history. Describe the distinctive elements.): The physical evidence from the period that defines the typical Park City mining era home--simple methods of construction, the use of non-beveled (drop-novelty) wood siding, plan type, simple roof form, informal landscaping, restrained ornamentation, and plain finishes--have been altered and, therefore, lost.

Feeling (Describe the property's historic character.): The physical elements of the site, in combination, do not effectively convey a sense of life in a western mining town of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

Association (Describe the link between the important historic era or person and the property.): The Pyramid house is one of the three most common house types built in Park City during the mining era; however, the extent of the alterations to the main building diminishes its association with the past.

The extent of and cumulative effect of alterations to the site render it ineligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

5 SIGNIFICANCE

Architect: ☑ Not Known □ Known: (source:)

Date of Construction: c. 1904¹

Builder: ☑ Not Known □ Known: (source:)

The site must represent an important part of the history or architecture of the community. A site need only be significant under one of the three areas listed below:

1. Historic Era:

□ Settlement & Mining Boom Era (1868-1893)

☑ Mature Mining Era (1894-1930)

□ Mining Decline & Emergence of Recreation Industry (1931-1962)

Park City was the center of one of the top three metal mining districts in the state during Utah's mining boom period of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, and it is one of only two major metal mining communities that have survived to the present. Park City's houses are the largest and best-preserved group of residential buildings in a metal mining town in Utah. As such, they provide the most complete documentation of the residential character of mining towns of that period, including their settlement patterns, building materials, construction techniques, and socio-economic make-up. The residences also represent the state's largest collection of nineteenth and early twentieth century frame houses. They contribute to our understanding of a significant aspect of Park City's economic growth and architectural development as a mining community.²

2. Persons (Describe how the site is associated with the lives of persons who were of historic importance to the community or those who were significant in the history of the state, region, or nation):

3. Architecture (Describe how the site exemplifies noteworthy methods of construction, materials or craftsmanship used during the historic period or is the work of a master craftsman or notable architect):

6 PHOTOS

Digital color photographs are on file with the Planning Department, Park City Municipal Corp.

Photo No. 1: East elevation (primary façade).Photo No. 2: East elevation (primary façade).Photo No. 3: East elevation (primary façade).

Camera facing west, 2006. Camera facing west, 1995. Camera facing west, c. 1940 tax photo.

¹ Summit County Recorder.

² From "Residences of Mining Boom Era, Park City - Thematic Nomination" written by Roger Roper, 1984.






 \overline{T}

PLANNING D	AVE - PO BOX 1480	K CITY 1884
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLAN For Use with the Historic District/Site Design Review Application	
PLANNING E	For Official Use Only APPLICATION #: DATE RECEIVED:	
PROJECT INF LANDA NAME: ADDRESS:	[일라]일상] 관객 - 승규 등 방송이다	
TAX ID: SUBDIVISION: SURVEY:	FLODEN-1	OR
APPLICANT IN NAME: PHONE #: EMAIL:	NFORMATION Curt Gackenbach (727)254 - 8286 curtis.gackenbach@gmail.com	

If you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process please contact a member of the Park City Planning Staff at (435) 615-5060 or visit us online at www.parkcity.org. Updated 10/2014. AUG 1 5 2016

19

Site Design

Use this section should describe the scope of work and preservation treatment for landscape features such as stone retaining walls, hillside steps, and fencing. Existing landscaping and site grading as well as parking should also be documented. Use supplemental pages if necessary.

Element/Feature: Topography and Landscaping

This involves:

Preservation
Reconstruction

Restoration
 Rehabilitation

Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail the proposed work:

The site topography is gently sloping to the street. There is an existing non-historic concrete retaining wall just outside the front property line. This wall will be cut to create a new opening for concrete driveway to access the proposed lower level garage. New concrete walls will be poured to retain grade ad sides of driveway.

Structure

Use this section to describe scope of work and preservation treatment for the general structural system of the building including floor and ceiling systems as well as the roof structure. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feat	Ire: S	structural s	ystems
This involves:		Preservation	□ Restoration
		Reconstruction	Rehabilitation
Based on the co the proposed wo	ndition rk:	and deficiencies of	utlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail
Exploratory d st <mark>ructure. Def</mark>	emo v ermir	will be done in o nation will be ma	order to inspect the structural integrity of the existing ade at this time for the full scope of structural work.
Plan is to sec anchor home	ure ex on ne	xisting structure w foundation.	for lift, build new concrete foundation, re-position and

If you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process please contact a member of the Park City Planning Shaft at 0435) 615-5060 or visit as online at viviv bankcity org. Updated 10/201-1



Roof

Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for the roofing system, flashing, drainage such as downspouts and gutters, skylights, chimneys, and other rooftop features. Use supplemental pages if necessary.

Element/Feat	_{ure:} R	Roof	
This involves:		Preservation	Restoration
Based on the co the proposed wo	ndition ork:	Reconstruction and deficiencies o	Rehabilitation utlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail
The previous	ly enc	vill be rebuilt to r closed portion of tructed to histori	neet the structural requirements of code. The front entry porch will be opened back up and the c form.

Chimney

Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for any existing chimneys. One box should be devoted to each existing chimney. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feat	ure: Brick Chimr	пеу
This involves:	☐ Preservation ☐ Reconstruction	☐ Restoration
Based on the co the proposed wo	ndition and deficiencies o	Rehabilitation outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail
The existing I	brick chimney is in dis	srepair and will be removed.



Exterior Walls Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for the exterior wall construction, finishes, and masonry. Please describe the scope of work for each individual exterior wall, use supplemental pages if necessary. Exterior Walls Element/Feature: This involves: Preservation Restoration Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail the proposed work: The exterior walls will be modified from the interior to a minimum level of code compliance. Windows, doors and siding replacement will take place as needed to replace non-historic or failed material. Element/Feature: This involves: Preservation Restoration Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail the proposed work:



QC 2

Foundation

.

Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for the foundation including its system, materials, perimeter foundation drainage, and other foundation-related features. Use supplemental pages if necessary.

Element/Feature:	Concrete	Foundation	
8			

This involves:

г

Preservation
 Reconstruction

Restoration
 Rehabilitation

Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail the proposed work:

After home is lifted a new formed concrete foundation will be built. Excavation will go leeper than existing foundation to allow for full basement and garage.

Porches

Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for all porches Address decorative features including porch posts, brackets, railing, and floor and ceiling materials.

Element/Feat	ure: Front Porch	
This involves:	Preservation	
	Reconstruction	Rehabilitation
Based on the co the proposed wo		utlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail
	ed living area will be re storic form and appea	emoved and the front porch will be rebuilt and brought rance.

If you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process plenum control a mamber of the Paor City Planning theff at (435) 615-5060 or vivit us online at www.parkcity.org. Updated 10/2014

AUG 1 5 2016

Page 42 of 81

Doors

100

Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for all exterior doors, door openings, and door parts referenced in the Door Survey of the Physical Conditions Report. Please describe the scope of work for each individual exterior door, use supplemental pages if necessary.

This involves: Preservation Restoration Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in the proposed work: All historic exterior doors have been replaced. New exterior doors of historically appropriate design will be provided according to new design. Element/Feature:	Element/Featur	re: Exterior Doors
the proposed work: All historic exterior doors have been replaced. New exterior doors of historically appropriate design will be provided according to new design. Element/Feature: "his involves: Preservation Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in other sectors.	his involves:	
appropriate design will be provided according to new design. Element/Feature: "his involves: Preservation Reconstruction Restoration Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in other physical Conditions Report physical Condition	Based on the con he proposed worl	idition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail k:
This involves: Preservation Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in conditions	All historic exte appropriate de	erior doors have been replaced. New exterior doors of historically esign will be provided according to new design.
This involves: Preservation Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in conditions		
This involves: Preservation Restoration Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in c		
Reconstruction Rehabilitation Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in d	Element/Feature	'e:
Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in d	his involves:	Preservation
Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in o		Reconstruction
	ased on the conc e proposed work	dition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail k:

If you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process please centerclis member of the Park City Pleanoid Stall at (435) 615/5060 or visit as online at vivia parkety org. Updates 10/2019 43



	\bigcirc
Windows	
window openings,	describe the proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for all exterior windows, and windows parts referenced in the Door Survey of the Physical Conditions Report. Please of work for each individual exterior window, use supplemental pages if necessary.
Element/Feature	Windows
This involves:	Preservation Restoration
	Reconstruction Rehabilitation
Based on the conc the proposed work	lition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail :
Element/Feature	Preservation Restoration
	□ Reconstruction □ Rehabilitation
Based on the cond the proposed work	ition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail

If you have substrains regarding the requirements on this application or process please contact a memory of the Park City Planning Staff at (455) 615-5060 or visit us online at www.parkety.org/Updated 10/2014 44

99 4

Mechanical System, Utility Systems, Service Equipment & Electrical

Use this section to describe proposed scope of work and preservation treatment for items such as the existing HVAC system, ventilation, plumbing, electrical, and fire suppression systems. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features. Use supplemental pages if necessary,

Element/Feature	MEP	Systems	

Reconstruction

Preservation Restoration

Rehabilitation

Based on the condition and deficiencies outlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail the proposed work:

All mechanical,	electrical,	and plumbin	g will be	removed	and r	eplaced	with code	compliant
systems.						,,		1 1) (

Additions

This involves:

1.6

Use this section to describe the proposed scope of work for any additions. Describe the impact and the preservation treatment for any historic materials. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features. Use supplemental pages if necessary.

Element/Feat	ure: A	dditon	
This involves:		Preservation	Restoration
		Reconstruction	Rehabilitation
Based on the co the proposed wo		and deficiencies o	utlined in the Physical Conditions Report, please describe in detail
	oe cla	d with board and	ill be a simple structure with shed roof draining to south. d batt siding. A bridge will connect the existing upper

If you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process planse contact is membra of the Perk City Planning Statt at (435) 615-5080 or visit us online at vivio particity org. Updates 10/3014

4. PROJECT TEAM

List the individuals and firms involved in designing and executing the proposed work. Include the names and contact information for the architect, designer, preservation professional, contractor, subcontractors, specialized craftspeople, specialty fabricators, etc...

Provide a statement of competency for each individual and/or firm listed above. Include a list or description of relevant experience and/or specialized training or skills.

Will a licensed architect or qualified preservation professional be involved in the analysis and design alternatives chosen for the project? Yes or No. If yes, provide his/her name.

Will a licensed architect or other qualified professional be available during construction to ensure the project is executed according to the approved plans? Yes or No. If yes, provide his/her name.

5. SITE HISTORY

Provide a brief history of the site to augment information from the Historic Site Form. Include information about uses, owners, and dates of changes made (if known) to the site and/or buildings. Please list all sources such as permit records, current/past owner interviews, newspapers, etc. used in compiling the information.

6. FINANCIAL GUARANTEE

The Planning Department is authorized to require that the Applicant provide the City with a financial Guarantee to ensure compliance with the conditions and terms of the Historic Preservation Plan. (See Title 15, LMC Chapter 11-9) Describe how you will satisfy the financial guarantee requirements.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

I have read and understand the instructions supplied by Park City for processing this form as part of the Historic District/Site Design Review application. The information I have provided is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Applicant:	pilnaz	B/29/16
Name of Applicant:	J. DEBRIDY	

if you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process plates control a member of the Park City Planning Staff at (435) 615/5060 or visit us online at www.parkelly.org. Updated 16/2014





	PHYSICAL CONDITIONS REPORT For Use with the Historic District Design Review (HDDR) Application				
PLANNER:	For Official Use Only				
PROJECT INFO NAME: ADDRESS:	ORMATION Curt Gackenback Residence 1063 Empire Avenue Park City, UT 84060				
TAX ID: SUBDIVISION: SURVEY: HISTORIC DES	LOT #: <u>North 1/2 of 15 & 16</u> BLOCK #: <u>28</u>	- OR			
APPLICANT IN NAME: MAILING ADDRESS:	NFORMATION Curt Gackenback				
PHONE #: EMAIL:	() - FAX #: () -				
APPLICANT'S I NAME: PHONE #: EMAIL:	REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION Jonathan DeGray, Architect (435) 649 - 7263 degrayarch@qwestoffice.net				

If you have questions regarding the requirements on this application or process please contact a member of the Park City Planning Staff at (435) 615-5060 or visit us online at www.parkcity.org. Updated 10/2014.

and the second se

and the second second

Detailed Description of Existing Conditions. Use this page to describe all existing conditions. Number items consecutively to describe all conditions, including building exterior, additions, site work, landscaping, and new construction. Provide supplemental pages of descriptions as necessary for those items not specifically outlined below.

1. Site Design

1.

This section should address landscape features such as stone retaining walls, hillside steps, and fencing. Existing landscaping and site grading as well as parking should also be documented. Use as many boxes as necessary to describe the physical features of the site. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

	ginal part of the building addition Es	timated date of c	onstruction:	Varie	s
Describe existing feature:	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		7.11531 F. 7 11 F. 11		
The property slopes uphil front property line to back A wood deck wraps the se feet. There are mature ev	outh corner of the house	slopes uphill a	approxima s back for	tely 15'. about 14	heast)
back (southwest) and righ	nt (northwest) sides of th				illusty
back (southwest) and righ		ne house.	Good	🗆 Fair	
-	nt (northwest) sides of th	ne house.			

Photo Numbers: 11,12,13,14,15

Illustration Numbers:

1,5,6,7,8



2. Structure

1.

8,2

Use this section to describe the general structural system of the building including floor and ceiling systems as well as the roof structure. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feature: Fo	undation and fr	aming	
	n original part of the building later addition	Estimated date of construction	Varies
Describe existing feature:			
the home. The basement and repaired over time us wood piers on stacked sta The addition at southwes Floor framing runs front to Exterior walls are 1" x 10"	sing concrete and concrete m one. t corner appears to be on a m o back and is 2"x8" wood. " skip plank over 2"x 24" stud:	it and foundation has been mod asonry. The back portion of the nonolithic slab. To be field verifie	home is supported by
Describe any deficiencies:	Existing Condit	ion: 🔲 Excellent 🔳 Good	🗌 Fair 🗌 Poo
There is no evidence Some of the floor mai	of below grade foundation n level floor joists have b	ons below the piers. been sistered to add streng	jth.
Photo Numbers: 24,2	5,26	stration Numbers: 2	



3. Roof

12

Use this section to describe the roofing system, flashing, drainage such as downspouts and gutters, skylights, chimneys, and other rooftop features. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

This involves:	 An origina A later ad 	al part of the bu Idition	to the final state of the second state of the	mated date of c	onstruction:	Varies	S
Describe existing fea	ture:						
The roof form of the	e historic hor	ne is a 12:12 p	itch hip roof	with flat roof a	t top.		
There have been ad added where the no been added to the t There are 3 dormer rear. All dormers ap the rear gable dorm The entire roof is al	orth portion c back of the h rs. A gable a opear to have her which wa	of the front entr ome The north t front of home, been added o s probably add	y deck was portion is 6 , A shed at I on at differer led in the 19	converted to liv :12 pitch and t eft side, and a nt times. The n 80's.	ring space. he south po gable at the nost recent t	Shed roofs rtion is 4:12 being the	
		and dimension matter	antena antena	이 지지 아이지는 아이는			
Describe any deficie	ncies:	Existing	Condition:	Excellent	Good	🗆 Fair	🗆 Po

4. Chimney

è,

Use this section to describe any existing chimneys. One box should be devoted to each existing chimney. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Featu	_{re:} B	rick Chimney				
This involves:		An original part of the building A later addition	Estimated date of	of construction:	Circa	1904
Describe existing	featur	e:				
there is a bric	k chir	nney at the flat roof of the h	NSTORIC STRUCTUR	e.		
Describe any defi Mortar at the e Chimney will b	expos	es: Existing Condit ed chimney has cracked a moved with renovations.			□ Fair loosely s	Tacked.
Photo Numbers: _	10,	11	stration Numbers:	5,6,7,8	3	



5. Exterior Walls

Use this section to describe exterior wall construction, finishes, and masonry. Be sure to also document other exterior elements such as porches and porticoes separately. Must include descriptions of decorative elements such as corner boards, fascia board, and trim. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feat	ure: E	Exterior V	Valls					
This involves:		An original par A later addition	t of the building	Estim	ated date of	construction:	Varies	S
Describe existing	g featur	re:						
A concrete m	asonr nd ma	d drop siding ry wall storage asonry has be s and doors h	e room has be en recently p	een ad ainted	ded to sou and is in t	th west co	mer of hor	24" o.c. ne.
Describe any de	ficienci	es:	Existing Condi	tion: [Excellent	🔳 Good	□ Fair	Poor
Photo Numbers:	10,	11,12,13	3,15	Istration	n Numbers:	5,6,7,8	3	



6. Foundation

Use this section to describe the foundation including its system, materials, perimeter foundation drainage, and other foundation-related features. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feature: Foundation

This involves:

An original part of the building

A later addition

Estimated date of construction:

Varies

Describe existing feature:

There is a partial basement with concrete foundation at the front historic portion of the home. The basement appears to have been dugout and foundation has been modified and repaired over time using concrete and concrete masonry. The back portion of the home is supported by wood piers on stacked stone. The addition at southwest corner appears to be on a monolithic slab. Needs to be field verified.

Describe any deficiencies: Existing Condition: Excellent Good Fair Poor
There is no evidence of below grade footings at the pier area.

Photo Numbers:

24,25,26

Illustration Numbers:

2



7. Porches

93 53

> Use this section to describe the porches Address decorative features including porch posts, brackets, railing, and floor and ceiling materials. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feature:	E	ntry Porch					
This involves:		An original part of the building A later addition		imated date of	construction:	Varies	S
Describe existing fea	ature	2					
additional living surface.The sou	spa th le	historic east facing entrice. The south half of the eg of the historic entry po ainted wood and in good	porch brch h	n remains an as also beer	d has a pa	inted wood	d deck
L Describe any deficie	encie	es: Existing Con	dition:	Excellent	🔳 Good	🗌 Fair	Poor
The enclosed po open porch and	the	on to the north of the histo roof structure above the	porch	ntry porch w n will be reco	II be reclai	med as pa in its histor	rt of the ic form.
Photo Numbers: 1	0, '	11,12,15	llustra	tion Numbers:	1,3,5,	6,8,9	



8. Mechanical System, Utility Systems, Service Equipment & Electrical

1

1

Use this section to describe items such as the existing HVAC system, ventilation, plumbing, electrical, and fire suppression systems. Supplemental pages should be used to describe additional elements and features.

Element/Feat	ure: ME	P Systems				
This involves:		original part of the building ater addition	Estimated date of d	construction:	Varies	S
Describe existing	g feature:					
Forced air ga The electrical Requires field	system	e gas water heater repla was updated in 1970-19 tion.	ced 2000 – 2010 980 with Romex v) and appe viring and I	ar servicea breaker bo	able. bx.
Describe any de All MEP syste		Existing Condit		Good	🔳 Fair	
Photo Numbers:		III	stration Numbers:			



9. Door Survey

8.4

Basic Requirements

- All door openings on the exterior of the structure should be assigned a number and described under the same number in the survey form. Doors in pairs or groupings should be assigned individual numbers. Even those not being replaced should be assigned a number corresponding to a photograph or drawing of the elevation, unless otherwise specified specifically by the planner.
- Describe the issues and conditions of each exterior door in detail, referring to specific parts of the door. Photographs depicting existing conditions may be from the interior, exterior, or both. Additional close-up photos documenting the conditions should be provided to document specific problem areas.
- The Planning Department's evaluation and recommendation is based on deterioration/damage to the door unit and associated trim. Broken glass and normal wear and tear are not necessarily grounds for approving replacement.
- The condition of each door should be documented based on the same criteria used to evaluate the condition of specific elements and features of the historic structure or site: Good, Fair, Poor.

Don't forget to address service, utility, and garage doors where applicable.





Total number of door openings on the exterior of the structure:	2
Number of historic doors on the structure:	0
Number of existing replacement/non-historic doors:	2
Number of doors completely missing:	0

Please reference assigned door numbers based on the Physical Conditions Report.

Number of doors to be replaced: 1

9.9 8.9

Door #:	Existing Condition (Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor):	Describe any deficiencies:	Photo #:	Historic (50 years or older):
	Fair			
	Fair			
1	Excellent	To be removed with restoration	17	No
2	Excellent	To be replaced with restoration	18	No
	Fair			

24

10. Window Survey

1.

Basic Requirements

- All window openings on the structure should be assigned a number and described under the same number in the survey form. Windows in pairs or groupings should be assigned individual numbers. Even those not being replaced should be assigned a number corresponding to a photograph or drawing of the elevation, unless otherwise specified specifically by the planner.
- Describe the issues and conditions of each window in detail, referring to specific parts of the window. Photographs depicting existing conditions may be from the interior, exterior, or both. Additional close-up photos documenting the conditions should be provided to document specific problem areas.
- The Planning Department's evaluation and recommendation is based on deterioration/damage to the window unit and associated trim. Broken glass and windows that are painted shut alone are not grounds for approving replacement.



Total number of window openings on the exterior of the structure:	10
Number of historic windows on the structure:	0
Number of existing replacement/non-historic windows	10
Number of windows completely missing:	0

Please reference assigned window numbers based on the Physical Conditions Report. Number of windows to be replaced: 7_____

18

Window #:	Existing Condition (Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor):	Describe any deficiencies:	Photo #:	Historic (50 years or older).
А	Fair	Newer window to be removed	11	No
В	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	11	No
В	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	12	No
С	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	-	No
D	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	-	No
E	Fair	Newer window to be removed		No
F	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	15	No
G	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	11	No
н	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	12	No
J	Fair	Newer window to be replaced	12	No
к	Fair	Newer window to be removed	14	No
	Fair			
	Fair			

11. Interior Photographs

 $(x_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ 107-14

Use this section to describe interior conditions. Provide photographs of the interior elevations of each room. (This can be done by standing in opposite corners of a square room and capturing two walls in each photo.)

Element/Feature:	Interiors					
'his involves:	 An original part of A later addition 	onstruction:	struction: Varies			
Describe existing fe			1000			
Essentially all o	f the historic inter	or tinisnes and t		Teplacou		
Describe any defic	iencies:	Existing Condition	Excellent	🔳 Good	🗆 Fair	
Interior will be	gutted with renova	ation.				
Photo Numbers:	20,21,22	Illust	ration Numbers:	3,4		



ekişi

492,

	1063 EMPIRE RESIDENCE		
106	HISTORIC RENOVATION AND ADDITIO 63 EMPIRE AVENUE, PARK CITY, UT 84 C DISTRICT DESIGN REVIEW APPLICA	060	
	CONSULTANTS ARCHITECTURAL JONATHAN DEGRAY P.O. BOX 1674 614 MAIN STREET SUITE 302 PARK CITY, UTAH \$4060 TEL, (435) 649-7263 FAX, (435) 649-7263 EMAIL: degrayarch@qwestoffice.net		Jonathan DeGray Architecton 14.0304781,Email teoth
BRICK & STONE OFFICE ROOM NAME ROOM NUMBER	CODE ANALYSIS	INDEX TO DRAWINGS * SHEET * SHEET DESCRIPTION	
HIRCK & STONE HIRCK & STONE Image: Store in the	2015 IRC 2015 IRC 2015 IRC 2015 IRC 2014 NEC 2015 IFC 2015 IRC 2015 IFC 2016 IRC 2015 IFC 2017 ILLING SPACE 2015 IFC 2017 ILLINING SPACE 1642 3. FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM 2010 IFC 2. DOULER 359 3. FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM 2010 IFC 2. DOULER 1179 3. FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM 2010 IFC 2. DOULER	1 Aa COVER SHEET SURVEY & PLAT 2 1 of 1 RECORD OF SURVEY AND TOPOGRAPHIC MAP 3 1 of 1 FLODEN SUBDIVISION PLAT 4 AB.1 AS BUILT - LOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN AND MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN 5 AB.1 AS BUILT - LOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN AND MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN 6 AB.1 AS BUILT - ENTERIOR ELEVATIONS 7 AB.1 AS BUILT - BUILDING SECTIONS 8 A0.1 PROPOSED SITE PLAN 9 A0.2 LANDSCAPE FLAN 9 A0.2 LANDSCAPE FLAN 10 A1.1 LOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN & MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN 11 A1.2 UPPER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN & MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN 12 A2.0 EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS 13 A3.0 BUILDING SECTIONS 14 A3.1 BUILDING SECTIONS 15 A5.1 DETAILS 16 A5.2 DETAILS 16 A5.2 DETAILS 16 A5.2 DETAILS 16 A5.2 DETAILS 16 A5.2	1063 EMPIRE RESIDENCE HISTORIC REMOVATION AND ADDITON 1063 EMPIRE AVENUE PARK CITY, UT \$4060
AC AR CONDITIONING F.D. FLOOR DRAIN PR. PAIR ADD ADDEXDUM FND FOUNDATION R.D. FOOF DRAIN ADD ADDEXDUM FND FOUNDATION R.D. FOOF DRAIN ADD ADDEXDUM FNL FIGINATION R.D. FOOF DRAIN ADD ADDEXDUM FILE FLOOR R.G. R.G. REDUCE ALLON ALLONANCE FR. FRE RATED R.G. REDVE CONG ALLON ALPROXIMATE G.G. GAL VANIZED IRON REV. REV. REV. BL BOARD G.L. GALVANIZED IRON REV. REV. REV. REV. BLUE BUTH WAYS GALV. GALVANIZED IRON REV. REV. REV. REV. BLUGG. BUTH WAYS GALV. GALVANIZED IRON REV. SCIEDULE SLR BLUG.G. BUTH WAYS GALV. GALVANIZED IRON REV. SCIEDULE SUB BLUG.G. BUTH WAYS GALV. GALVANIZED IRON REV. SUB REV. SUB ROM C.L. CAST IRON H.B. HOR BIDB SIM. SIMILAR SIMILAR C.L. CAST IRON	 ALL WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PETTINENT JURISDICTIONAL CODES, RESTRICTIONS, COVENANCS, ANDOR ORDINANCES, ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN THE DESIGN AND REQUIREMENT SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER MODIFICATIONS ANDORS USE ALL WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PETTINENT JURISDICTIONAL CODES, RESTRICTIONS, COVENANCS, ANDOR ADDINANCES, ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR. A MINIMUM OF TWO (2) WORKING DAYS NOTICE SHALL BE GIVEN. ALL WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PETTINENT JURISDICTIONAL CODES, RESTRICTIONS, COVENANCS, ANDOR ADDINANCES, ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN DESIGN AND REQUIREMENT SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER BEFORE PROCEEDING. ANY AND ALL PROPOSED CHANGE, MODIFICATIONS ANDORS ACCORDANCE WITH HEIR SAGUIREMENTS, SHALL BE DESIGN ADA ANY CONSEQUENT CONSTRUCTION. ANY AND ALL PROPOSED CHANGE, MODIFICATIONS ANDORS ACCORDANCE WITH HEIR SAGUIREMENTS SHALL BE EFORE PROCEEDING. ANY THE EVENT OF CONFLICT BETWEEN HEAD READ TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER BEFORE PROCEEDING. ANY AND ALL PROPOSED CHANGE, MODIFICATIONS ANDORS ANDOR JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS, THE MORE RESTRICTIONS ANDOR JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS, THE MORE RESTRICTIONS AND		COVER SHEET
c Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017		PARK CITY PLANNING DEPT.	Aa age 61 of 81

Historic





1.1

8 - V

Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

А.

ţ,

÷.

	×
9 W W	
	<i>u</i> ,
ON PLAT	
THE	
14 M	
-	
14	
	14 H
ed event(s) of the barely described trost of land, analy cartily that I have caused this onswh(s) to the recenterion of this Piel.	
successfy effers for devicelium to the City of manua, parks, and required efficient and particular with and Trianardia of deficient.	A.
15 th day of June 2004.	
	3
	9
By assent before the, be undersigned in this, Born Morphe / Botom me to be (or proved executed the underside national or bird? of Due some of the borch electrical freet as to Recard being and reforming.	12 1
E PERIORALITS & STREETER'S	
UNDER THE STORE & FEDERAL LINES OF PUBLICAL	3
the of purchas	
or and Unal bodd Carlificals Na. Bhi Lei Llow Achemismi Fict are propored A City benchard Comparison - I Arthur	
5]24]09'	
1990/	
(*)	
	4
1	. 5
NOR'S ADDITION TO PARK CITI	9
TOPIS ADDITION TO PARE CITY	
. + - net and Employ Avenue; there along the	
Rest, Causta of basening bairg said Iring, Breine NHT OF BEETRINKING said point bairg the et: CBy Europy, Reveau along the Astrony Res of.	
er and English Avenuel; thenoo along the lead, Casala of baseling bading and Hing); therein MVT OF DEGUNAN and point head they if the eff. CBP Decomposition and point the end- analisative converse (Table and Decomposition and et 13, 88,4791 DDVW, a distance of 72,000 head to the and sharp and werearby the of about 14, 15 bits the northerly that of and Ellabol 20; thence bits the northerly that of and Ellabol 20; thence to the POINT OF the Restance of the State State (Table 20).	
a na Point of Manualia.	
PAGE	. 1 of 1
CE # 703695 RECORDED	
STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF SUMMIT AND H AT THE REQUEST OF Equily TIME DATE 7-01-01 TIME SIST AD BOOK PAG	T.CO
F. 32 8 Alemilon Pauso Dup FEE RECORDER	
TEG RECORDER	RECEIVED
	In the second second second second
	NOV 0 3 2016
	Level h
	PLANNING Page 63 of 81
100 (400 1 1 4 5 100 C) (1 1 1	ter sector a trat a manual





NORTH



120



Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

÷.











Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

÷.

.







.

	PLAN	SCHEDU	LE	_		1
QUANITY	COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	SIZE	SPACING	COMMENTS	
2	I Colorado Blue Spruce	PICIDUOUS TREES	3ª Dia.	6'-10'	6' - 8' tall	
5	Colorado Blue Spruce Aspen	Picea pungens Populus tremuloides	3* Dia. 3* Dia.	6'-10'	p and	j 🛛
		SHRUBS				
21	Red twig dogwood	SHRUBS Corrus serieca "balleyi"	5 Gal.		Spacing as noted on plan	
22	Bluebells	ERENIAL PLANTS Campanula	1 Gal.	12*-18*	Distribute Equally	-
22	Columbine	Aquilegia Caeralea	I Gal.	12"-18"	Distribute Equally	
22	Trailing Daisy	Erigeron Flagillaris	1 Gal.	12"-18"	Distribute Equally	
22	Blanket Flower	Gaillardia Aristata OTHER	1 Gal,	12"-18"	Distribute Equally	
923 S/F	Wood Chips		Small		3" Thick Layer	
505 S/F 284 S/F	Native Grass Seed Mix Drought Tolerant Fescue		1 /b 1500	Hydroseed	See seed mix below	
D MIX	Drought Folerant Fescue	Sheep Fescue		-		
NG UTILITI SATION IS R RIAL SHALL RIAL SHALL RIAL VERIFY ALL COORD A DISCREP- NS SHALL BU LL RECEIVE S SHALL HA ING SHALL HA NG SHALL HA PING MATEI ED. TOCK SHAL	CATION OF ALL UTILITIES P ES ON SITE OR ADJACENT PR EQUIRED, PROVIDE SIOP DE L CONFORM TO CURRENT AM . BU INSTALLED AS PER DRA' ALL QU'ANTITIES. IN CASE O NRATE ALL PLANTING WITH ANCY NOTIFY THE ARCHITES I ALLOWED WITHOUT WRITT 50° OF TOPSOIL VE 3° OF TOPSOIL VE 3° OF TOPSOIL VE 3° OF TOPSOIL EE PRESSURE TREATED WOO T STAKES SHALL BE SET FLU BIAL MADE OF SYNTHETICS I L BU USED OIL MIN, SEE SPECIFIC ATION:	DERTY SHALL BE CONTRAC AWINGS FOR APPROVAL LERICAN ASSOCIATION OF N AUNGS, DETAILS, AND SPECH IF A DISCREPANCY, THE ILLU IRIUGATION CONTRACTOR, A CT OR OWNER IMMEDIATEL' EN PERMISSION OF THE ARC MULCH INSTALLED. D OR TREX" EDGING IT SHA MIR DR PLASTICS SHALL BE REN	TORS RESI URSERVMA FICATIONS. JSTRATED AS NEEDED AS NEEDED ALL SEPARJ	YONSUBILITY IN'S STAND LOCATIONS OWNER	, RED SPECIFICATIONS. SHALL DICTATE COUNT. RUB BEDS: NATIVE	PARK CITY, UT 84060 PAC C TTY, UT 84060 PAC C T T C
	R	ECEIVI DV 0 3 201	ED			LANDSCAPE PLAN LANDSCAPE PLAN IOUTLI ZOMER IOUTLI ZOMER
		PAHK CITY ANNING DEP			Pa	SHEET NUMBER: A0.2



Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

KEYED NOTES

- ARCHITECTURAL GRADE COMPOSITION SHINGLE 50 YEAR PRESIDENTIAL TL (855* PER SQUARE, MIN) ON ICE AND WATER. MEMORANE OVER ENTIRE ROOF SURFACE UP TO 24" DOWN FROM RIDGE TYP. DOUBLE UNDERLAYMENT REQUIRED AT ROOFS WITH SLOPE 4.12 OR LESS
- (2) STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF WITH NON REFLECTIVE FINISH, SEAMS & 12" O.C. INSTALLED PER MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS OVER ICE AND WATER MEMBRANE OVER ENTIRE ROOF SURFACE UP TO 24* DOWN FROM RIDGE TYP. DOUBLE UNDERLAYMENT REQUIRED AT ROOFS WITH SLOPE 4.12 OR LESS. B) NOT USED
- SNOW RETENTION BARS ALPINE SNOW GUARDS PP225 OR EQUAL INSTALL PER MANUFACTURERS DIRECTIONS 2'-6' O.C. SEE GIADI
- 5 3/4" X 2" ON 3/4" X 7 1/4" BUILT UP CEDAR FASCIA - STAINED B/4" X B 1/2" CEDAR FASCIA - STAINED
- 1) EXISTING WOOD FASCIA REPAIR AND REPLACE AS NEEDED STAINED
- ()HISTORIC HORIZONTAL COVE SIDING REMOVE AND RE-INSTALL ON TYVEK HOMEMRAP ON 1/2" EXT. SHEATHING ON
- 2x6 STUDS @ 16" O.C. WINTICAL BOARD AND BATTEN CEDAR SIDING - 2 V2' X 5/4' BATTENS & 12' O.C. ON HORIZONTAL BLOCKING & 24' O.C. ON TYVEK HOMENRAP ON V2' EXT.
- SHEATHING ON 2x6 STUDS & 16' O.C. W SOLID HORIZONTAL BLOCKING . 24" O.C. METAL PANEL SIDING ON TYVEK HOMEMRAP ON 1/2" EXT. SHEATHING ON 2x6 STUDS . 16" O.C. FINISH TO BE
- DETERMINED STEEL EGRESS LADDER PERMANENTLY ATTACH TO CONCRETE FOUNDATION WALL.
- (T) HOOD WINDONS AND DOORS W INSULATED GLASS - SEE SCHEDULE AND
- REScheck REPORT. (16) ALUMINUM CLAD WOOD WINDOWS AND DOORS W INSULATED 6LASS - SEE SCHEDULE AND REScheck REPORT.
- CARRIAGE STYLE OVERHEAD GARAGE
- 36" HIGH RAILINS, 4x6 SHAPED TOP RAIL, 9x4 SHAPED BOTTOM RAIL & 2X2 PICKETS W 6X6 CEDAR POSTS - STAINED (7) RAS RUBBED CONCRETE FINISH
- (2) NEW CONCRETE RETAINING WALL, THE INTO EXISTING CONCRETE PER ENGINEER.
- STRUCTURAL FOR SIZE AND REINFORCING.

- HEATED CONC. PORCH/ PATIO/ DRIVENAY. BROOM FINISHED NATURAL COLOR 4" THICK W 6x6 WI.4 X WI.4 WWF, TYP.
- (2) 4" CONCRETE FLOOR SLAB. REINFORCEL PER ENGINEER. OVER 6 MIL VAPOR BARRIER WITH JOINTS LAPPED NOT LESS THAN 6' OVER 6' GRANLAR FILL. (RSØ6.2.3) ANY EDGE OF SLAB LESS THAN 12' BELOW GRADE SHALL BE INSULATED - RIØ & 4 FEET OR RIS & 4 FEET FOR HEATED SLABS (IECC, SECTION 40228
- (2) 1 V2* CONCRETE TOPPING WITH RADIANT HEAT COLLS PER CONTRACTOR SPECS. CONCRETE FINISH T.B.D. 3 STACKED STONE RETAINING WALL
- SEE DETAIL DIAGI
- METAL GUTTER & DOWNSPOUT TO DRAIN TO SUB-TERRANIAN FOUNDATION DRAIN.
- DRIVEWAY TRENCH DRAIN TO CONNECT TO SUB-TERRANIAN FOUNDATION DRAIN.
- (S) TOUGHNDRY VERTICAL DRAIN BOARD OF SPRAT AFFLIED FONDATION DAMP PROOFING TO DRAIN TO 4'9 CONTINUOUS I'GUNDATION DRAIN SET IN GRAVEL, DRA TO SUMP. ALL SIDES OF FOUNDATION. BACKFILL FOUNDATION WITH GRANULAR FILL # 45% COMPACTION.
- BLOWN-IN FIDERSLASS BID INSULATION ENTIRE CAVITY, R-IS : 2X4 WALLS, R-24 2X6 WALLS, t R-52 : INTERIOR II 7/5" FLOORS, INSTALL MINIMUM 4-MIL POLYETHYLENE VAPOR RETARDER OVER THE INSULATION ON THE INSIDE (WARM SIDE OF ALL EXTERIOR WALLS. IRC R102.1
- BICLOSED GAS FIREPLACE OPENING FRAMED ON 10" PLATFORM SIZE PER PLAN.
- HLAR. TUBS AND SHOWERS WITH TILED WALLS REQUIRE A PORTLAND CEMENT APPLICATION, FIDER-CEMENT OR GLASS MAT SYPPSUM BACKER, GREEN BOARD IS NO LONSER ALLOND IN THIS APPLICATION.
- HOOD GUARDRAIL AT STAIRWAY TO BE 36" TALL W/ NO OPENINGS ALLOWING THE PASSAGE OF A SPHERE 4" IN DIAMETER, STAIRWAY/HANDRAILING/GUARDRAIL NOTES 9,10,11/A5.1 FOR REQUIREMENTS.
- (1) POOD HANDRAIL AT STAIRNAT TO BE I V2'9 HOLD I V2' FROM WALL AND LOCATE 2'-IS' ABOVE STAIR NOSING, RETURN ENDS TO MALL OR POST. SEE STAIRWAY/HANDRAILINS/GUARDRAIL NOTES 6,7,0/AS.I FOR REQUIREMENTS.
- (4) 2x REDWOOD OR TREX DECKING ON PRESSURE TREATED DECK FRAMING PER STRUCTURAL DRAWINSS.



GENERAL NOTES

	EXISTING WALL TO BE REMOVED
	EXISTING 2X FRAMED WALL
	EXISTING &' CONCRETE WALL
	NEW 2X FRAMED WALL
. <u> </u>	NEW 5" GONGRETE WALL

I- EXTERIOR WALLS TO BE 2X6 FRAMING TYP. ALL INTERIOR WALLS TO BE 2X4 FRAMING, UN.O. - TYP. ALL FLOOR JOIST TO BE II 7/8" TJI FRAMING UNO. - TYP. ALL ROOF JOIST TO BE II 7/8" TJI FRAMING U.N.O.

2- ALL INSULATION PER REScheck REPORT. 9- VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS.

•	
R	Jonathan DeGray Architectic PD. BAY ENI New Streed, Sale XXX, Den ENI STAL SALE AND REAGE AND READ AND SALE AND READ AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A
H H	HISTORIC RENOVATION AND ADDITON 1063 EMPIRE AVENUE PARK CITY, UT 84060 PA C C
	VEL FLOORPLANS 1063 EMF HISTORIC REN 1063 E PARK
	EDWER & MAIN LE
	INTE 10/31/16 PRODUCT NUMBER SHIET NUMBER A1.1





Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

KEYED NOTES

- ARCHITECTURAL GRADE COMPOSITION SHINGLE 50 YEAR PRESIDENTIAL TL (1959 PER SQUARE, MIN) ON ICE AND WATER MEMBRANE OVER ENTIRE ROOF SURFACE UP TO 24" DOWN FROM RIDGE TYP BLE INDERLAYMENT REC IRED AT ROOPS WITH SLOPE 4.12 OR LESS.
- (2) STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF WITH NON REFLECTIVE FINISH, SEAMS & 12" O.C. NETALLED FER MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS OVER ICE AND WATER MEMBRANE OVER ENTIRE ROOF SURFACE UP TO 24" DOWN FROM RIDGE TYP. DOUBLE UNDERLAYMENT REQUIRED AT ROOPS WITH SLOPE 4:12 OR LESS.
- (4) SNOW RETENTION BARS ALPINE SNOW GUARDS (F225 OR EQUAL, INSTALL FER MANUFACTURERS DIRECTIONS # 2'-6" O.C. SEE C/AØ.1
- S/4' X 2' ON B/4' X T I/4' BULT UP CEDAR FASCIA STAINED
- () 9/4" x 5 1/2" CEDAR FASCIA STAINED
- EXISTING MOOD FASCIA REPAIR AND REPLACE AS NEEDED STAINED
- HISTORIC HORIZONTAL COVE SIDING -HOMEWRAP ON 1/2" EXT. SHEATHING ON 2×6 51005 . 16" O.C.
- VERTICAL BOARD AND BATTEN CEDAR SIDINS 2 1/2" X 3/4" BATTENS & 12" O.C. ON HORIZONTAL BLOCKING @ 24" O.C. ON SOLID HORIZONTAL BLOCKING & 24" O.C. W SOLID HORIZONTAL BLOCKING & 24" O.C.
- (I) METAL PANEL SIDING ON TYVEK HOMEWRAP ON 1/2" EXT. SHEATHING ON
- 2x6 STUDS # 16" O.C. FINISH TO BE DETERMINED STEEL EGRESS LADDER PERMANENTLY ATTACH TO CONCRETE FOUNDATION WALL
- THE REPORT.
- ALIMINIM CLAD MOOD WINDOWS AND DOORS W INSULATED GLASS SEE SCHEDULE AND RESCHOCK REPORT.
- B6" HIGH RAILING: 4x6 SHAPED TOP RAIL Bx4 SHAPED BOTTOM RAIL 4 2X2 PICKETS W 6x6 CEDAR POSTS STAINED (3) RAG RUBBED CONCRETE FINISH
- CONCRETE FOUNDATION SEE STRUCTURAL FOR SIZE AND REINFORCING.

- (3) HEATED CONC. FORCH/ PATIO/ DRIVE BROOM FINISHED NATURAL COLOF THICK W 6x6 WI.4 X MI.4 MMP, TY
- (2) 4' CONCRETE FLOOR SLAB, REINF PER ENGINEER, OVER 6 MIL VAPO BARRIER WITH JOINTS LAPPED NO THAN 6' OVER 6' GRANLAR FILL, (R506.2.5) ANY EDGE OF SLAB LE THAN 12" BELOW GRADE SHALL B INSULATED - RIØ : 4 FEET OR RIS FEET FOR HEATED SLADS (IECC, S Ø228
- (3) 1 1/2" CONCRETE TOPPING WITH RAT HEAT COLLS PER CONTRACTOR SP CONCRETE FINISH T.B.D.
- SEE DETAIL D/AØJ
- TO SUB-TERRANIAN FOUNDATION D
- DRIVEWAY TRENCH DRAIN TO CON TO SUB-TERRANIAN FOUNDATION D
- (S) TOUGHNIDRY VERTICAL DRAIN BO SPRAY APPI IND FOUNDATION DAT PROFILED FOUNDATION DAM PROOFING TO DRAIN TO 4'4 CONT FOUNDATION DRAIN, SET IN GRAVEL TO SUMP, ALL SIDES OF FOUNDATION BACKFILL FOUNDATION WITH GRAN
- FILL & 45% COMPACTION. ENTIRE CAVITY. R-IS @ 2X4 WALLS 2X6 WALLS, & R-IS @ INTERIOR II FLOORS. INSTALL MINIMUM 4-MIL POLYETHYLENE VAPOR RETARDER THE INSULATION ON THE INSIDE ON SIDE) OF ALL EXTERIOR WALLS. IRG R102.7
- BICLOSED GAS FIREPLACE, OPEN FRAMED ON 10" PLATFORM, SIZE F PLAN.
- FLAN, TUBS AND SHONERS NITH TILED WAI REQUIRE A PORTLAND CEMENT APPLICATION, FIDER-CEMENT OR 6, MAT 6YSM BACKER, GREEN BOAI NO LONGER ALLOYED IN THIS ADDIVATION APPLICATION
- 36" TALL W NO OPENINGS ALLOWIN PASSAGE OF A SPIERE 4" IN DIAM STAIRWAY/HANDRAILINS/SUARDRAI NOTES 9,10,1/45.1 FOR REQUIREMENT
- (4) WOOD HANDRAIL AT STAIRMAY TO I V2*8 - HOLD I V2* FROM WALL AV LOCATE 2'-18* ABOVE STAIR NOSIN RETURN ENDS TO WALL OR POST. SEE STAIRWAY/HANDRAILINS/GUAR NOTES 6,7,8/A5.1 FOR REGUIREMEN
- NEW CONCRETE RETAINING WALL THE INTO EXISTING CONCRETE PER ENGINEER.
 STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS.
 STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS.



8- VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS.

Pare

71 of 01

BROOM FINISHED NATURAL COLOR 4" THICK W 6x6 Ni 4 x Ni 4 WHF, TYP. (2) 4' COLORETE FLOOR SLAB, REINFORCED BARRIER NITH JOINTS LAPPED NOT LESS THAN 6' OVER 6' GRANLAR FILL. (REG623) ANY EDGE OF SLAB LESS THAN 6' OVER 6' GRANLAR FILL. (REG623) ANY EDGE OF SLAB LESS THAN 6' OVER 6' GRANLAR FILL. (REG623) ANY EDGE OF SLAB LESS THAN 1' DELON GRADE SHALL BE INSULATED - RIG 8 4 FEET OR RIS 8 4 FEET FOR HEATED SLABS (IECC, SECTION 4022.8 (2) 1/2' CONCRETE TOPPINS WITH RADIANT HEAT COLLS FIER CONTRACTOR SPECS. CONCRETE FINISH T3D. STACKED STORE RETAINING WALL SEE DETAIL D/AG1	
 (a) NETAL GUTTER & DONNEROUT TO DRAIN. TO SUB-TERRANIAN FORDATION DRAIN. (b) DRIVEWAY TRENCH DRAIN TO CONNECT TO SUB-TERRANIAN FORDATION DRAIN. (c) DRIVEWAY TRENCH DRAIN TO CONNECT TO SUB-TERRANIAN FORDATION DRAIN. (c) DRIVEWAY TRENCH DRAIN TO CONNECT PROFINE TO DRAIN TO AND CONTINUOUS FORDATION DRAIN SET IN GRANULAR FORDATION DRAIN SET IN GRANULAR PLL 0 43% COMPACTION. (c) DRIVEN FIGHTACH SIZE OF FORDATION. BACKFILL FORMATION WITH GRANULAR FILL 0 43% COMPACTION. (c) DLOWN-IN FIGHTALASS DIB INSLATION ENTIRE CAVITY. R-IS 0 2X4 WALLS, R-24 0 2X6 WALLS, 8 R-550 0 INTERIOR II T/0° FLOOPS. INSTALL INNIMM 4-HAIL POLYETHTLEV VAPOR RETARDER OVER THE INSLATION ON THE INSIDE WARM SIDE) OF ALL EXTERIOR WALLS. IRC RT02.1 (c) DLOS AND SHONERS NITH TILED WALLS REARD ON 10° FLATFORM SIZE FER FLANED ON 10° FLATFORM SIZE FER FLANED ON 10° FLATFORM. SIZE FER FLANED ON SHORES NITH TILED FLANES FLATFORM FL	Jonathan DeGray Architecture Potement State
 MAT GYPEUM BACKER, GREEN BOARD IS NO LONGER ALLOPED IN THIS AFPLICATION. (*) NOOD GUARDRAIL AT STAIRWAY TO BE BG' TALL W'NO OPENINGS ALLOWING THE STAIRWAY/HANDRAILINS/GUARDRAIL NOTES 9,0/1/ASI FOR REQUIREMENTS. (*) NOOD HANDRAIL AN STAIRWAY TO BE 1/2/2* - HOLD 1/2* FROM WALL AND LOCATE 2*-B' ABOVE STAIR NOSINS. RETURN ENDS TO WALL OR POST. SEE STAIRWAY/HANDRAILING/GUARDRAIL NOTES 6,170/ASI FOR REQUIREMENTS. (*) DRODD OR TREX DECKING ON PRESSURE TREATED DECK TRAMING PER STRUCTURAL DRAWINSS. 	1063 EMPIRE RESIDENCE HISTORIC RENOVATION AND ADDITON 1063 EMPIRE AVENUE PARK CITY, UT \$4060
ECEIVED OV 0 3 2016 PARK CITY LANNING DEPT.	UPPER LEVEL FLOORPLAN AND ROOF LEVEL PLAN
GENERAL NOTES EXISTING VALL TO BE REMOVED EXISTING 2X FRAMED VALL EXISTING 3' CONCRETE VALL EXISTING 3' CONCRETE VALL NEW 2X FRAMED WALL I- EXTERIOR WALLS TO BE 2X6 FRAMING TYP, ALL INTERIOR WALLS TO BE 2X6 FRAMING EXIST TO BE 117/6' TJI FRAMING UNO TYP, ALL ROOF JOIST TO BE 117/6' TJI FRAMING UNO.	вичизова вичизова вичи ви



1574, 514

P.O.



Historic Preservation Board Packet February 1, 2017

- TOUSHINDRY VERTICAL DRAIN BOARD OR SPRAY APPLIED FOUDATION DAMP PROOFING TO DRAIN TO 4'6 CONTINUOUS FOUNDATION DRAIN, SET IN GRAVEL, DRAIN TO SUMP, ALL SIDES OF FOUNDATION BACKFILL FOUNDATION WITH GRANULAR FILL @ 15% COMPACTION.
- PLOORS INSTALL MINIMUM 4-MIL POLYETHYLENE VAPOR RETARDER OVER THE INSULATION ON THE INSIDE (WARM

- 56' TALL W NO OPENINGS ALLOWING THE PASSAGE OF A SPHERE 4' IN DIAMETER. STAIRWAY/HANDRAILING/GUARDRAIL
- IV2'9 HOLD I 1/2' FROM MALL AND LOCATE 2'-10' ABOVE STAIR NOSING. RETURN ENDS TO WALL OR POST. SEE STAIRWAY/HANDRAILINS/GUARDRAIL







- (1) ALIMINIM CLAD MOOD WINDOWS AND DOORS W INSULATED SLASS - SHE SCHEDULE AND RESCHOCK REPORT. (1) CARRIAGE STYLE OVERHEAD GARAGE
- DOOR DOOR Boo HIGH RAILING: 4x6 SHAPED TOP RAIL, Bx4 SHAPED BOTTOM RAIL & 2X2 PICKETS W 6X6 CEDAR POSTS - STAINED
- W 6X6 CEDAR POSTS STAINED
 RAG RUBBED CONCRETE FINISH
 DIEN CONCRETE RETAINING WALL TIE INTO
- NEN CONCRETE RETAINING WALL. TE INTO EXISTING CONCRETE PER ENGINEER.
 CONCRETE FOUNDATION - SEE STRUCTURAL FOR SIZE AND REINFORCING.
- (3) HEATED CONC. PORCH/ PATIO/ DRIVENAY. BROOM FINISHED NATURAL COLOR 4" THICK W/ 6x6 WL4 X WL4 WWF, TYP.
- (2) 4' CONCRETE FLOOR SLAB. REINFORCED FER ENSINEER OVER 6 MIL VAPOR BARRIER MITH JOINTS LAPPED NOT LESS THAN 6' OVER 6' GRANLAR FILL. (REG6 23) ANY TOPEC OF SLAB LESS
- (R506.2.3) ANY EDGE OF SLAB LESS THAN [2" BELON GRADE SHALL BE INSULATED - RIØ & 4 FEET OR RIS & 4 FEET FOR HEATED SLABS (IECC, SECTION 402.2.8
- (3) I V2* CONCRETE TOPPING WITH RADIANT HEAT COLLS PER CONTRACTOR SPECS. CONCRETE FINISH T.B.D.
- STACKED STONE RETAINING WALL SEE DETAIL D/AØJ (2) NATURAL GRADE
 - TURAL GRADE
- PROPOSED FINAL GRADE
- 21'-#' ABOVE PROPOSED FINAL GRADE
- METAL GUTTER & DOWNSPOUT TO DRAIN TO SUB-TERRANIAN FOUNDATION DRAIN.
 DRIVEWAY TRENCH DRAIN TO CONNECT TO SUB-TERRANIAN FOUNDATION DRAIN.

- TOUSHNDRY VERTICAL DRAIN BOARD OR SPRAY APPLIED FOUNDATION DAMP PROOFING TO DRAIN TO 4'S CONTINUOUS FOUNDATION DRAIN, SET IN SRAVEL, DRAIN TO SUMP. ALL SIDES OF FOUNDATION. BACKFILL FOUNDATION WITH GRANNLAR FILL 6 155 COMPACTION.
- BLOWN IN FIDERCLASS BID INSULATION ENTIRE CAVITY, R-15 © 2X4 WALLS, R-24 © 2X6 WALLS, 4 R-50 © INTERIOR II 1/8' FLOORS, INSTALL INNUM 4-ML POLYETHYLENE VAPOR RETARDER OVER THE INSULATION ON THE INSUE (WARM SIDE) OF ALL EXTERIOR WALLS. IRC R102.7
- (T) NON-VENTED ROOT 4' CLOSED CELL FOAM INSULATION AT DUTER FACE OF CAVITY (R-28), FILL REMAINDER OF CAVITY W FIDERGLASS BIDS (R-4.25 / I')
- BENCLOSED GAS FIREPLACE, OPENING FRAMED ON 10" PLATFORM, SIZE PER PLAN.
- PLAN. (9) TUES AND SHOWERS WITH TILED MALLS REQUIRE A PORTLAND CEMENT APPLICATION, FIER-CEMENT OR SLASS MAT STYLEM BACKER, GREEN BOARD IS NO LONGER ALLOWED IN THIS APPLICATION.
- APPLICATION, APPLICATION, APPLICATION, APPLICATION, BO TALL WINO OFFINISS ALLOWING THE PASSASE OF A SPHERE 4' IN DIAMETER, STAIRWAY/HANDRAILING/GUARDRAIL NOTES 4(JSJ)/ASJ FOR REQUIREMENTS,
- MOOD HANDRAIL AT STAIRWAY TO BE 1/2'9 - HOLD 1/2' FROM WALL AND LOCATE 2'-16' ABOVE STAIR NOSING. RETURN ENDS TO HALL OR POST. SEE STAIRWAY/HANDRAILING/GUARDRAIL NOTES 6 TO/ASI FOR REGUIREMENTS.
- REDWOOD OR TREX DECKINS ON PRESSURE TREATED DECK FRAMING PER STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS.

FIELD VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS ON SITE COORDINATE ALL FLOOR HEIGHTS & DIMENSIONS WE EXISTING GRADE, FLOOR LEVELS AND BEARING HEIGHTS.



or Rain R 24 0 ER	
711) 555 EHR IL R	Jonathan DeGray A r c h i t e c t P.0. BertEld for than Stored Safe 200, Una Button Ted 425-640-7001 E-ant dy synambered safet and
1	MINICIPACIENT IN THE RESIDENCE HISTORIC RENOVATION AND ADDITON INCLUSION ADDITON INCLUSION PARK CITY, UT 84060
	BUILDING SECTIONS
	EAVEGOSS DATE: 10/31/16 FRONCT NAME: SITET NEMBER:
Pa	A3.1



TYVEK "HOME WRAP" INSTALLATION:

I. INSTALL AIR IJARRIER AFTER SHEATHING IS INSTALLED AND BEFORE WINDOWS AND DOORS ANE INSTALLED. INSTALL LOWER LEVEL BARRIER PRIOR TO UPPER LAYERS TO ENSURE PROFILE SINGLAGE OF LAYERS.

2. OVERLAP AIR BARRIER AT CORNERS OF BUILDING BY A MINIMUM OF 12 INCHES.

3. OVERLAP AIR BARRIER VERTICAL SEAMS BY A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES.

4. ENSURE BARRIER IS PLUM AND LEVEL WITH FOUNDATION, AND UNROLL EXTENDING AIR BARRIER OVER WINDOW AND DOOR OPENINGS

5. ATTACH AIR BARRIER TO WOOD, INSULATED SHEATHING BOARD OR EXTERIOR GYPSUM WITH PLASTIC CAP NALLS EVERY 12" TO 10" ON VERTICAL STUD LINE WITH WOOD STUD FRAMING, AND SCREWS WITH WASHERS TO NEETLA STUD FRAMING, WHEN ATTACHING TO WOOD SHEATHING, A NINIMUM I.O NCH CROWN STAPLE MAY BE USED, WHEN ATTACHING TO MASONRY, USE ADHESIVE RECOMMENDED BY MANUFACTURER.

6. PREPARE WINDOW AND DOOR ROUGH OPENINGS AS FOLLOWS A PREPARE EACH WINDOW ROUGH OPENING BY CUTTING A MODIFIED

1 FOLLENS IN THE ARE BARRIER ALONG BOTTOM OF HEADER. 1. IORIZOTALLY CUT AR BARRIER ALONG BOTTOM OF HEADER. 2. VERTICALLY CUT AR BARRIER DOWN THE CENTER OF WINDOW OFENINGS FROM THE TOP OF THE WINDOW OFENING DOWN TO 23 OF THE WAY TO THE BOTTOM OF THE WINDOW OFENINGS.

GONALLY CUT AIR BARRIER FROM THE BOTTOM OF THE VERTICAL CUT TO THE LEFT ND RIGHT CORNERS OF OPEN 4. FOLD SIDE AND BOTTOM FLAPS INTO WINDOW OPENING AND FASTEN EVERY 6 INCHES.

4. FOLD SIDE AND BOTTOM FLAMS INTO WINNAW UPENING AND PASTER STREET VERSITES. TRIM OFF EXCESS. B. REPARKI EACH ROUGH DOOR OPENING BY CUTTING A STANDARD TY PATTIEN IN THE ARE BARRIER. I. HORZONTALLY CUT AIR BARRIER ALONG BOTTOM OF DOOR PEAKIE HEADREA AND ALONG TOP OF SILL. 2. VERTICALLY CUT AIR BARRIER ADONG DOTTOM OF DOOR OPENING SFROM THE TOP OF THE DOOR OPENING UBLER JOWN TO THE BOTTOM OF DOOR OPENING SHOM THE TOP OF THE DOOR OPENING UBLER JOWN TO THE BOTTOM OF DOOR NOT AND FASTEN EVERY & INCHES. TRIM OFF EXCESS.

7. TAPE ALL HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL SEAM OF AIR BARRIER WITH DUPONT TYVEK TAPE.

8. SEAL ALL TEARS AND CUTS IN AIR BARRIER WITH DUPONT TYVEK TAPE.



7 SILL JAMB AND HEAD FLASHING A5.1 NOSCALE

STAIRWAY HANDRAILING GUARDRAILING NOTES:

1. STAIRWAYS SHALL NOT HE LESS THAN 36 INCHES IN CLEAR WIDTH AT ALL POINTS ABOVE THE PERMITTED HANDRAIL HEIGHT AND BELOW THE REQUIRED HEADROOM HEIGHT, HANDRAILS SHALL NOT PROJECT MORE THAN 36 INCHES ON EITHER SIDE OF STAIRWAY AND THE MINIMUM CLEAR WIDTH OF THE STAIRWAY AT AND BELOW THE HANDRAIL HEIGHT, INCLUDING THEADS AND LANDRIGS, SHALL NOT HE LESS THAN 31 & INCHES WHERE A HANDRAIL IS INSTALLED ON ONE BUDE AND 21 INCHES WHERE HANDRAIL ARE PROVIDED ON ROTH SIDE, ARE REALLD. AT

NUM BEADROOM IN ALL PARTS OF THE STARWAY SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 6 FEET & INCHES MEASURED VERTICALLY FROM THE SLOPED LINE ADJOINING THE TREAD NOSING OR FROM THE FLOOR SURFACE OF THE LANDING OR PLATFORM ON THAT PORTION OF THE STAIRWAY, -IRC R1117.2

3. THE MAXIMUM RISER HEIGHT SHALL BE 7 INCRES THE RISER SHALL BE MEASURED VERTICALLY BETWEEN LEADING EDGES OF THE ADJACENT TREADS. THE RISER BEIGHT WITHIN ANY FLIGHT OF STAIRS SHALL NOT EXCEED THE SMALLEST BY MORE THAN 2 INCH. 4RC R311.7.4.1

4. THE MINIMUM TREAD DEPTH SHALL BE 10 INCHES. THE TREAD DEPTH SHALL BE MEASURED HORIZONTALLY BETWEEN THE VERTICAL PLANES OF THE FOREMOST PROJECTION OF ADJACENT TREADS AND AT A RIGHT ANGLE TO THE TREADS LEADING EDGE. THE GREATEST TREAD DEPTH WITHIN ANY FLIGHT OF STAIRS SHALL NOT EXCERD THE MAALEST BY MORE THAN INCH CONSISTENTLY SHAPED WINDERS AT THE WALKINE SHALL BE ALLOWED WITHIN THE SAME FLIGHT OF STAIRS AS RECTANGULAR TREADS AND DO NOT HAVE TO BE WITHIN INCH Y RECTANGULAR TREAD OFTIL.

RECTANGULAE TREAD DEFINE WINDER TREADS SHALL HAVE MINIMUM TREAD DEPTH OF 10 INCHES MEASURED BETWEEN THE VERTICAL PLANES OF THE FOREMOST PROJECTION OF ADJACENT TREADS AT THE INTERSECTIONS WITH THE WALKLINE. WINDER TREADS SHALL HAVE MINIMUM TREAD DEPTH OF 6 INCHES AT ANY POINT WITHIN THE CLEAR WIDTH OF STARE, WITHIN ANY TLIGHT OF STARES, THE LARGEST WINDER TREAD DEPTH AT THE WALKLINE SHALL HAVE MINIMUM TREAD DEPTH OF 6 INCHES AT ANY POINT WITHIN THE CLEAR WIDTH OF STARE, WITHIN ANY TLIGHT OF STARES, THE LARGEST WINDER TREAD DEPTH AT THE WALKLINE SHALL HAVE MINIMUM TREAD DEPTH OF 6 INCHES AT ANY POINT WITHIN THE CLEAR WIDTH OF STARE, WITHIN ANY TLIGHT OF STARES, THE LARGEST WINDER TREAD DEPTH AT THE WALKLINE SHALL HAVE SHALL BOT WIDER THEAD BY MORE THEAD BY MORE THEAD ANY TABLEST

5. THE WIDTH OF EACH LANDING SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN THE WIDTH OF THE STAIRWAY SERVED, LANDINGS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM DIMINISION OF 36 INCIDES MEASURED IN THE DIRECTION OF TAXVEL HER BALL 7.5

A HANDRAIL HEIGHT, MEASURED VERTICALLY FROM THE SLOPED PLANE ADJOINING THE TREAD NOSING, OR FINISH SURFACE OF THE RAMP SLOPE, SHALL HE NOT LISS THAN 14 INCHES AND NOT MORE THAN 38 INCHES. -IRC R311.7.7.1

3. HANDRAILS FOR STAIRWAYS SHALL BE CONTINUOUS FOR THE FULL LENGTH OF THE FLIGHT, FROM A POINT DIRECTLY ABOVE THE TOP RISER OF THE FLIGHT TO A POINT DIRECTLY ABOVE THE LOWEST RISER OF THE FLIGHT. HANDRAIL ENDS SHAL BE RETURNED OR SHALL TERMINATE IN NEWL PORTS OR SAFETY TERMINALS HANDRAILS ADJACENT TO A WALL SHALL HAY E A SPACE OF NOT LESS THAN 1 FINCH BETWEEN THE WALL AND THE HANDRAILS.

AND 1/2" GYPSUM BOARD

(SEALED / TAPED TO PENETRATION)

(USE TYVEKA FLEXWRAPS FOR

(R. EXHAUST VENT)

TYVEK # TO FLANCE

PENETRATIO

CAULKING

SPAL TAPP

EXCEPTIONS: 1. HANDRAILS SHALL BE PERMITTED TO BE INTERRUPTED BY A NEWL POST AT THE TURN. 2. THE USE OF A VOLUTE, TURNOUT, STARTING EASING OR STARTING NEWL SHALL BE ALLOWED OVER THE LOWEST TREAD, JRC R311,7.7,2

8 ALL REQUIRED HANDRAILS SHALL BE OF ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OR PROVIDE FOUTVALENT GRASPABILITY

TYPE 1 HANDRALS WITH CRCULAR CROSS SECTION SHALL HAVE AN OUTSIDE DIAMETER (OF 1 | DICIES AND NOT GREATER THAN 3 INCHES, IF THE HANDRAIL IS NOT CRCULAR, IT SHALL HAVE A PERIMETER DIMENSION OF AT LEAST 4 INCHES AND NOT GREATER THAN 6 INCHES WITH A MAXIMUM CROSS SECTION OF DIMENSION OF 2 INCHES. EDGES SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM RADIUS OF

TYPE II: HANDRAILS WITH A PERIMETER GREATER THAN 6 INCHES SUALL HAVE A GRASPABLE FINGER RECESS AREA ON BOTH SIDES OF THE PROFILE. THE FINGER RECESS SHALL BEGIN WITHIN A DISTANCE OF JUSCI MEASURED VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING FOR A DEPUTIOR AND ROUTE VERY ROWTHING TO USE OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHIN TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHING TALLUST FORTING OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHING TALLY ROWTHING THE PROFILE THE MINIMUM WIDTH OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHING TO THE PROFILE THE MINIMUM WIDTH OF THE PROFILE. THE REVERSE VERTICALLY ROWTHING TO A LEVEL THAT IS NOT LESS THAN 14 INCHAIN RADIUS OF 0.10 INCH. THE HANDRAIL, ABOVE THE RECESS SHALL BE 14 INCHES TO MAXIMUM OF 24 INCHES. EDGES SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM RADIUS OF 0.10 INCH.

9. GUARDS SHALL BE LOCATED ALONG OPEN-SIDED WALKING SURFACES, INCLUDING STAIRS, RAMPS AND LANDINGS, THAT ARE LOCATED MORE THAN 30 INCHES MEASURED VERTICALLY TO THE FLOOR OR GRADE BELOW AT ANY POINT WITHIN 36 INCHES MOREONTALLY TO THE EDGE OF THE OPEN SIDE. 4RC R312.1

10. GUARDS SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 36 INCHES HIGH MEASURED VERTICALLY ABOVE THE ADJACENT WALKING SURFACE, ADJACENT FIXED SEATING OR THE LINE CONNECTING THE LEADING EDGES OF THE TREADS. -IRC R312.2

11. GUARDS SHALL NOT HAVE OPENINGS FROM THE WALKING SURFACE TO THE REQUIRED GUARD HEIGHT WHICH ALLOW PASSAGE OF A SPHERE 4 INCHES IN DIAMETER. (IRC 1012.3

OF DEMOD BE IN ERST RELAY DESIGN OF TRANSFER DE ASSAGE DE ASSAG B. THE OPENNO BET MEEN ADJACENT TREADS IS NOT LIMITED ON STAIRS WITH A TOTAL RISE OF JO RICHES OR LESS NOTE: THIS MEANS THAT CONCRETE STAIRS, WITHOUT NOSINGS, MUST HAVE A TREAD DEFINITO FO IONCHS



4 WINDOW HEAD DETAIL

A5.1 NOSCALE



MASTIC SEAL-

5 BASE OF WALL DETAIL A5.1

6 WALL PENETRATION DETAIL

OVIDE WOOD BLOCKING AND SEAL AROUNI PENETRATION USING

INIMALLY EXPANDIN

APPROVED CAULK

(15.1) NUSCALE

POLYURETHANE FOAM OF

LARGE OPENINGS

ARCHITECTURAL NOTES:

1. ALL WORKS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE 2015 INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE STRUCTURAL SHALL COMPLY WITH THE 2015 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE.

2. ALL SUBMITTALS AND CHANGES TO PLANS SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE ARCHITECT PRIOR TO BEING SUBMITTED TO THE BUILDING OFFICIAL FOR APPROVAL. ENGINEER TO APPROVE ALL STRUCTURAL CHANGES.

3. HABITABLE ROOMS, HALLWAYS, CORRIDORS, LAUNDRY ROOMS AND BASEMENTS SHALL HAVE A CELLING HEIGHT NOT LESS THAN 7 FEET MEASURED FROM THE FINISHED FLOOR TO THE FINISHID CLLING, HAVIRGORG CAN BE AT 4-5°. NOT MORE THAN 5°°. OF THE REQUIRED FLOOR AREA & FREMITTED TO HAVE A SLOPED CELLING LESS THAN 7 FL. WITH NO PORTION OF THE REQUIRED FLOOR AREA LESS THAN 5°T. IN HEIGHT, JRC R505

4. ASPHALT SHINGLES SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED ON ROOFS HAVING A SLOPE LESS THAN 4 TO 12 UNLESS DOUBLE UNDERPAYMENT IS INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH IRC SECTION 8905.2.

5. ICE BARRIER THAT CONSISTS OF TWO LAYERS OF UNDERLAYMENT CEMENTED TOGETHER OR OF A SELF-ADHERING POLYMER MODIFIED BITUMEN SMILT, SHALL BE USED IN LEU OF KORMAL UNDERLAYMENT AND EXTEND FROM THE LOWEST EDGIS OF ALL ROOF SURFACES TO A POINT AT LEAST 24 INCHES INSIDE THE EXTERIOR WALL LINE OF THE BUILDING. - IRC R095.2.7.1

6. EXTERIOR WALLS SHALL PROVIDE THE BUILDING WITH A WEATHER-RESISTANT EXTERIOR WALL ENVELOPE. THE ENTERIOR WALL ENVELOPE SHALL INCLUDE FLASHING. 8703.1

7. APPROVED CORROSION-RESISTANT FLASHING SHALL BE APPLIED SINGLE-FASHION IN A MANNER TO PREVENT ENTRY OF WATER INTO THE WALL CAVITY OR PENETRATION OF WATER TO THE BUILDING STRUCTURAL FRAMING COMPONENTS. SELF-ADHERED INSIGNATIONS USE AS FLASHING SHALL COMPLY WITH AMM 711 THIE FLASHING SHALL ENTERD TO THE SUBRACE OF THE EXTERIOR WALL PINSIA INTO PROVED CORROSION-RESISTANT FLASHING AT LALL OF THE A EXTERIOR WINDOW AND DOOR OPENINGS TLASHING AT EXTERIOR WINDOW AND DOOR OPENINGS SHALL EXTERN TO THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST AND AND DOOR OPENINGS SHALL ENTERN TO THE SUBRACE OF THE EXTERIOR WALL ENTERD TO THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENCES THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENCES TO A SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENCES TO A SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE EXTERIOR WALL ENTERD TO THE WATER BESIST VE BARRER FOR SUBSCIENT THE ANTIPOLY CONTENTION OF THE WATER SESSITIVE BARRER FOR SUBSCIENT THE ANTIPOLY CONTENTION OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE EXTERIOR WALL TIMES OF TO THE WATER SESSITIVE BARRER FOR SUBREST ON SUBSCIENTS THE ANTIPOLY CONTENTION OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENTS THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBRACE OF THE SUBREST ON SUBSCIENT THE SUBRACE OF THE

WALE-PRESENT & EDRAFTER FOR SUBSEQUENT DEALWARE. B AT THE INTERSECTION OF CHIMNEY'S ON OTHER MASSINGY CONSTRUCTION WITH FRAME OR STUCCO WALLS, WITH PROJECTING LIPS ON BOTH SIDES UNDER STUCCO COPINGS AND SILLS. C UNDER AND AT THE ENDS OF MASJONRY, WOOD OR METAL COPINGS AND SILLS.

CONTINUOUSLY ABOVE ALL PROJECTING WOOD TRIM. WILLER EXTERIOR PORCHES, DECKS OR STAIRS ATTACH TO A WALL OR FLOOR ASSEMBLY OF WOOD-FRANK CONSTRUCTION AT WALL AND ROOF INT CTIONS

G. AT BULT-IN GUTTERS, IRC 8703.8

8. ELEVATORS. WHERE PROVIDED, PASSENGER ILEVATORS, LIMITED USE OR LIMITED APPLICATION ELEVATORS OR PRIVATE RESIDENCE ILEVATORS SHALL COMPLY WITH ASME AT7.1. IRC P32.1

FRAMING NOTES:

1. PROTECTION OF WOOD AND WOOD BASED PRODUCTS FROM DECAY SHALL BE PROVIDED IN THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS THE USE OF NATURALLY DURABLE WOOD OR WOOD THAT IS PRESERVATIVE-TREATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWPA UI FOR THE SPECIES, PRODUCT,

PRESERVATIVE AND END USE. A WOOD JOISTS OR THE HOTTOM OF A WOOD STRUCTURAL FLOOR WHEN CLOSER THAN IS INCIES OR WOOD GRORES WIEN CLOSER THAN 12 INCIES TO THE EXPOSED GROUND IN CRAWL SPACES OR UNIXCAVATED AREA LOCATED WITHIN THE PERIPHERY OF THE BUILDING

B. ALL WOOD FRAMING MEMBERS THAT REST ON CONCRETE OF MASONRY EXTERIOR D. ALL WOOD FLAAMING MEMBERS HIAT HAST TO CONCRETE WA MASONY EXTERIOR FOUNDATION WALLS AND ARE LESS FILAN REVIEWS FROM THE LENGED GROUND. C. SILLS AND SLEEPERS ON A CONCRETE OR MASONRY SLAB FILAT IS IN DRECT CONTACT WITH THE GROUND VIELSES SPERARATED FROM SICILS ALL BITLY AN INPRIVIOUS MOISTURE BARRIER. D. THE END OF WOOD GIRDERS ENTERING EXTERIOR MASONRY OR CONCRETE WALLS HAVING

D. THE END OF WOOD GIRDERS ENTEINING EXTERIOR MASONRY OR CONCRETT WALLS HAVING CLEARANCES OF LESS THAT HINCI ON TOPS, SIDES AND ENDS E. WOOD SIDNG, SHEATHING AND WALL FRAMING ON THE EXTERIOR OF A BUILDING HAVING A CLEARANCE OF LESS THAN 6 INCHES FROM THE GROUND OR LESS THAN 2 INCHES MEASURED VERTICALLY FROM CONCRETE STEPS, FORCH SLABS, PATIO SLABS, AND SIMILAR HORIZONTAL SURFACES ENFOSED TO THE WEATHER. F. WOOD STRUCTURAL MEMBERS SUPPORTING MORSTURE-PERMICABLE FLOORS OR ROOPS THAT ARE EXNOSED TO THE WEATHER. SUCH AS CONCRETE OR MASONRY SLABS, UNLESS SEPARATED FROM SUCH TOORS OR ROOPS BY AN IMPERVIOUS MOISTURE-BRINGE ARKIER. G. WOOD FURING STRUPS OR OTHER WOOD FRAMING MEMBERS ATTACHED DIRECTLY TO THE INTERPOR OF UTERION MASONRY WALLS AND CONCRETE VALUE AS DE ONCOR TWO THEY WINTER INTERPOR OF UTERION MASONRY WALLS AND AND AND EXCEPTION MORE WERE AND EXCEPT WITTER INTERPOR OF UTERION MASONRY WALLS AND AND AND EXCEPTION FOR THE WITTER UNDER THERE AND EXCEPTION OF THE WOOD FRAMING MEMBERS ATTACHED DIRECTLY TO THE NTERIOR OF EXTERIOR MASONRY WALLS OR CONCRETE WALLS BELOW OR ADE EXCEPT WHERE AN APPROVED VAPOR RETARDER IS APPLIED BETWEEN THE WALL AND THE FURRING STRUPS OF FRAMING MEMDERS. - IRC R317.1

2: ACCESSIBLE BELOW-FLOOR AREAS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH A MINIMUM 18° X 24° ACCESS OPENING, IRC R408-4, FOR ACCESS TO MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IN THESE AREAS SEE IRC M1305.1.4

3. PROVIDE A MINIMUM 22" X 30" ATTIC ACCESS IN A HALLWAY OR OTHER READILY ACCESSIBLE LOCATION. -IRC R807.1. SEE M1305.1.3 FOR ACCESS TO FURNACES AND OTHER MECHANICAL FOUIPMENT IN ATTIC.

4. PROVIDE 24" ON-CENTER BLOCKING FOR VERTICAL SIDING. - IRC TABLE R703.4 FOOTNOTE I.

5. PROVIDE ROOF SHEATHING RATING AND NAILING SCHEDULE AS PER ENGINEERING DESIGN, OR MINIMUM 5/8*, 40 20 RATING IF NO PROFESSIONAL DESIGN IS PROVIDED.

FIREPLACE NOTES:

1. MARONRY OR CONCRETE CHIMNEYS SHALL BE ANCHORED AT EACH FLOOR, CEILING OR ROOF LINE MORE THAN 6 FIRTH ABOVE GRADE, EXCEPT WHERE CONSTRUCTED COMPLETELY WITHIN THE EXTERIOR WALLS- IRC JOIN 4

2. TWO $\frac{1}{12}$ INCH BY 1 INCH STRAPS SHALL BE EMBEDDED A MINIMUM OF 12 INCHES INTO THE CHIMNY. STRAPS SHALL, HOOKED AROUND THE OUTER DARS AND EXTEND 6 INCHES BEYOND THE BUND. ACCUST RAPS SHALL, BE FASTENED TO A MINIMUM OF FOUR FLOOR CELLING OR FLOOR JOINT OR RAFTERS WITH TWO $\frac{1}{2}$ INCH BOLTS. HIC RIMOLAJ

3. ALL WOOD BEAMS, JOIST, STUDS AND OTHER COMBUSTIBLE MATERIAL SHALL HAVE A CLEARANCE OF NOT LESS THAN 2 INCHES FROM THE FRONT FACES AND SIDES OF MASONINY FREPALCES AND NOT LESS THAN 4 NORMES REMATHER BACK FACES OF MASONINY FREPALCES. THE ANS SPACE SHALL NOT HE FILLED, EXCEPT TO PROVIDE THE BLOCKING IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION BUOM 19

THE AIR SPACE SHALL NOT BE FILLED, EXCEPT TO PROVIDE FIRE BLOCKING IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION RUBUL2. EXCEPTIONS: A MASONRY FREPLACES LISTED AND LABELED FOR USE IN CONTACT WITH COMPUSTIBLES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURES INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS ARE PERMITTED TO HAVE COMBUSTIBLE MATERIAL IN CONTACT WITH THEIR EXTERIOR SUBJACES.

WITH THERE IS LEADEN SOLFALES. IS WHEN MASONRY TREPLACES ARE PART OF MASONRY OR CONCRETE WALLS, COMBUSTIBLE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE CONTACT WITH THE MASONRY OR CONCRETE WALLS LESS THAN 12 INCHES FROM THE UNSUIT SUPLACE OF THE MARKET FERENON LINNG.

INCHEST ROM THE INSIDI SURFACT, OF THE NEAREST FIREBOX LINING. C EXPOSED COMBUSTILLE TRAIN AND THE IDDRESS OF SHEATHING MATERIALS SUCH AS WOOD SIDNG, FLOOMING AND DRYWALL SHALL HE PERMITTED TO ARUT THE MASONRY FIREFLACE SIDE WALLS AND HEATHI EXPENSION, PROVIDED SUCH COMMUTTIBLE TRAIN OR SHEATHING IS A MINIMUM OF 12 NOTIBES FROM THE INSIDE SURFACE OF THE NAARRST FIREBOX LINING. D EXPOSED COMBUSTBLE LARMATELS ON THIN MAY HE FLACED DRIFTLY ON THE MASONRY FIREFLACE FROM SURFACE AND THE INSIDE SUFFACE OF FINING PROVIDED SUCH COMMUSTIBLE MATERIALS ARE NOT FLACED WITHIN 6 INCHES OF A FIREFLACE DEVINING SUCH COMMUSTIBLE MATERIALS ARE NOT FUNCTED WITHIN 6 INCHES OF A FIREFLACE DEVINING SUCH COMMUSTIBLE MATERIAL WITHIN 12 INCHES OF THE FIREPLACE OPENING SHALL NOT PROJECT MORE THAN INCH FOR EACH I INCH DISTANCE FROM SUCH AN OPENING. JRC 10001.11

4. CHIMNEYS SHALL EXTEND AT LEAST 2 FEET HIGHER THAT ANY PORTION OF A BUILDING WITHIN 10 FEET, BUT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3 FEET ABOVE THE HIGHEST POINT WHERE THE CHIMNEY PASSIS THOUGH THE ROOF-JRC R00.9

RECEIVED
NOV 0 3 2016
FARK CITY PLANNING DEPT.

DETAILS DETAILS 1063 EMPIRE RESIDENCE JO HISTORIC RENOVATION AND ADDITON A 1063 EMPIRE AVENUE POL800 Tel.	Jonathan DeGray	rchitecť	P.O. Box (614, 614 Man Sneet Sufe 302, Park Ce), Urah 84950 Tet, C35.649-7203, E-reat dografend@reestsffic.net
DETAILS		HISTORIC RENOVATION AND ADDITON	
	DETAILS		

75 of 91



1.60

.

				ROC	OM F	INISH	I SCI	HEDU	LE									WINDO	DW SCH	EDULE	
	ROOM	the survey of the local division of the loca	OOR			WALLS			ING	REMARKS		MIDT	HEIGHT	HEADER HT.	TYPE		PRAME MATERIAL	EXT. FINISH	INT. FINISH	6LAZIN5	R
NO.	NAME	MATERIAL	BASE	NORTH	EAST	SOUTH	MEST	HEIGHT	MATERIAL			-		1.02.10	9. 1988-200	(19852231) (SWERDENDE UDE WEDNENDE		LOWER LEVEL		1
101		Lun en		(1.0.5.1m)	1	LOWERI	angel and all of the second second	1	4.100		_ \$	1 2'-6'	4'-6*	B'-Ø'	CAS	e-lent	WOOD ALUM. CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	
101	FAMILY ROOM	WOOD	MOOD	ØYP	ØYP	GYP	OTP	8'-11 5/8"	ØYP	-	§	9			_						NC
102	MUD ROOM	WOOD	WOOD	6YP	dyp dyp	OTP	erp	8'-11 9/8"	OYP	-	\neg	7	1 41 44		1 4 4 4				MAIN LEVEL		- Lei
103	STORAGE BATH	TILE	WOOD	6YP	OTP	6YP	OYP	8'-11 5/8* 8'-11 5/8*	6YP	-		6) 5'-0"	6'-4"	6'-Ø'		EMENT W TRANSOM	WOOD ALUM, CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW !	
104	HALL		TILE	6YP	6TP	6YP	GYP	8'-11 9/8"	6YP	-	- 19	p) 5'-0"	2'-4'	8-0	-	EMENT W/ TRANSOM	WOOD ALUM, CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	-
105	BEDROOM 2	NOOD	WOOD	6YP 6YP	6179	6YP 6YP	6YP	6'-11 5/8*	6YP	-		5-0		6'-8'		EMENT	HOOD ALUM, CLAD		STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	
107	CLOSET	WOOD	WOOD	GYP	6YP 6YP	6YP	6YP 6YP	6'-11 5/6"	6YP 6YP			2'-6'	4-0	8'-0" 8'-8" (LANDN	107.2.77	EMENT	WOOD ALUM, CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	-
107	GARAGE	CONCRETE	nous	GYP	GYP	GYP	GYP	6'-11 3/6"	GYP	÷.		6) 7-6"	7-0	D-D (LUNDA	B/ LAS	ements W transoms	HOOD ALUM, CLAD	MANUFACTURER	UPPER LEVEL	INSULATED - LOA E	1 m
109	MECHANICAL	CONCRETE		ØYP	SYT	GYP	GYT	8'-11 8/B'	GYP	-		H) 2'-6'	9'-6"	6'-8'	CAR	ement	WOOD ALLM. CLAD	MANFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	d.
107	The Providence	CONCINETE		Wir	Serre .	MAINLE		0-11 0/0	Str.		⊢╞	3) 2-6'	4-10	5-0'	-	EMENT W/ TRANSOM	MOOD ALLM. CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	
201	LIVING ROOM	MOOD	NOOD	6YP	OTP	6YP	SYP	8'-3 7/8*	STP	2		K) 8'-4"	2'-6'	6'-9'	SLID		WOOD ALUM. CLAD	MANUTACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	1
202	GAME AREA	WOOD	HOOD	6TP	OTP	OTP	6YP	8'-3 7/8"	OTP			L) 4'-0"	4-0*	8'-4"	SLID		HOOD ALLM. GLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	_
203	DINING	WOOD	HOOD	617	6YP	6YP	6YP	8'-3 7/8*	6YP			H) 2'-6'	7-6*	8-2	_	EMENT	HOOD ALLM. CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	-
204	KITCHEN	WOOD	WOOD	6YP	SYP	STP	GYP	6'-3 7/6'	6YP			R) 3'-0"	5-0	6'-8'	- 2027	e-ext	WOOD ALLM. CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	
205	HALL	WOOD	MOOD	GYP	GYP	GYP	GYP	8'-8 7/8"	GYP			P) 2'-6'	2'-6'	6'-0'	1.1	ement	WOOD ALLM. CLAD	MANUFACTURER	STAIN & VARNISH	INSULATED - LOW E	-
206	BEDROOM B	NOOD	NOOD	OTP	OTP	OTP	OT	8'-8 7/8'	GYP			/ 20	2-0		0.0		1000 101 0000	1000 00000	Side Tradist		1
207	CLOSET	NOOD	NOOD	OTP	OTP	OTP	emp	8'-5 7/8"	GYP	-	1										
208	BATH	TILE	TILE	6TP	6YP	6TP	OTP	8'-5 7/8"	OTP	-								DOO	R SCHE	DULE	
209	LAUNDRY	TILE	TILE	6YP	6YP	OTP	6YP	6'-3 7/8"	6YP	1		MIDTH	HEIGHT	THICK TYPE	-	DOOR MATL	DOOR FINISH	FRAME MATERIAL	FRAME FINISH	HOWR TYPE	R
		1			1 40	UPPER L		1 + + 47				1.00.00			-	1			LOWER LEVEL	1.12.0.2	1.13
301	HALL	MOOD	NOOD	GYP	GYP	SYP	STP	VARIES	GYP			a) q'-ø"	8-0	13/4" CAR	RIASE	HOOD / ALLM	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	GARAGE	A
302	MASTER BEDROOM	MOOD	MOOD	OTP	GTP	OTP	GYP	VARIES	GYP	•		2-8	B'-0'	1 8/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD / ALUM	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	LOCKSET	H
303	CLOSET	WOOD	NOOD	GYP	GYP	GYP	erp	VARIES	GYP	-		2'-8'	6'-0"	- State 2013	E+RAIL	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSAGE	L
304	GLOSET	WOOD	NOOD	OTP	OTP	OTP	OTP	VARIES	OYP			4) 2'-8"	8'-0"		E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	LOCKSET	20
305	MASTER BATH	TILE	TILE	6TP	OTP	OTP	OTP	VARIES	OTP	-		a) 2'-8"	8'-0"	1 5/4" STYL	E+RAIL	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSASE	-
306	BEDROOM 4	MOOD	WOOD	GYP	6TP	6YP	6YP	VARIES	OTP	-		a) 2'-8"	8'-0"	13/4" STYL	E+RAIL	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSAGE	1.
307	GLOSET	MOOD	NOOD	GYP	STP	GYP	GYP	VARIES	STP		Ē	7) 2'-6'	B'-Ø*	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	
308	BATH	TILE	TILE	GYP	GYP	GYP	SYP	VARIES	GYP		- C	\$ 2-0	6'-0"	1/2*		6LA95				SHOWER	-
	4 9 25	A CORE A SEC	10.007 M277								70	2-0	8'-0"	18/4" 971	E+RAIL	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	
	WI	NDO	W NC	DTES					DOOF	RNOTES	G	0) 4.0'	8'-0"	1 9/4" STYL	E+RAIL	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSAGE	2
	1.73(7).7		200 - COD28	79) W WENTSLORD					RECEIPTION CERTIFICATION		- 1		-						MAIN LEVEL		-
	ZING IN HAZARDOUS LO CTION REGED AND RE		QUIRED TO B	e glazed wit	H SAFETY M					NLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. VER ENCLOSURES SHALL BE TEMPERED GLASS. I	6	3-0	6'-8"	19/4" 571	E+RAIL	HOOD / ALUM	STAIN & VARNISH	HOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	LOCKSET	HA
2- ALL	WINDOWS IN BATHROO	OMS MUST BE 1					TABLE ROOD!	3		NDOM MANUFACTURER.	6	6-2	8'-0"	13/4" ST1	EIRAIL	NOOD / ALUM	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	LOCKSET	FU
SLASS	WITHIN A 24" ARC OF	DOORS, GLAZ	ING LESS THA	N 60" ABOVE	A WALKING	SURFACE	4- AUTOMATIC			HALL BE TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH UL925 -	E C	2'-8"	6'-8"	13/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	-
	6 WITHIN 5 FEET OF ST FANELS AND SIMILAR						RG 3115.1 5- All exter	IOR DOORS TO	HAVE A U-F	ACTOR OF \$28 OR LOWER.	6	4.0	6'-8"	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSASE	BI
- 55	ESS WINDOWS: FINISH MIN NET CLEAR OPEN	SILL HEIGHT M	AX. 44" FROM	I FLOOR MAX		NINS OF	6- SEE FLOOR	R PLANS AND E	XTERIOR ELE	VATIONS FOR ALL HANDING OF DOORS. VATIONS FOR MORE DETAIL OF CUSTOM DOOR	6	2'-6'	6'-8"	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	
5- ALL	EXTERIOR GLAZING A	SSEMBLIES TO	HAVE A U-FA	ACTOR OF ØS			ASSEMBLIES.			AND THE FORE DETAIL OF GUSTOM DOOR	6	2'-6'	6'-8'	1 8/4" STYL	E+RAIL	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	-
	EXTERIOR ELEVATION FLOOR PLANS AND E					MON					G	2-8	8'-B'	1 8/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN 4 VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	R
CCED-	BLIES.					216										1/1			UPPER LEVEL	al here and a second	-
											6	9 2-8	6'-8"	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	моор	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	-
-													6'-8"	1 3/4" STTL	E+RAIL	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSAGE	-
											6	2-6'	6'-8"	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSAGE	-
											6	2.0'	6'-8"	18/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	WOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	
											6	2.0	6'-0'	1/2'	1	GLASS				SHOWER	-
											<u>ตเคเลเลเลเร</u>	2'-6'	6'-8'	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	-
											6	\$ 4.0	6'-8'	1 3/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PASSAGE	B
											6	2-6'	6'-8'	13/4" STYL	E+RAIL	NOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	MOOD	STAIN & VARNISH	PRIVACY	PC
												2:0	6'-0"	1/2'		6LASS				SHOWER	-
											S. 1	1 4-0				1577 MONESTS					

DOOR AND WINDOW NOTE. SEE FLOOR PLANS FOR ALL HANDING OF WINDOWS AND DOORS. SEE FLOOR PLANS AND EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS FOR MORE DETAIL ON CUSTOM DOOR ASS



ļ	REMARK5		
1	4 Marca		
	אסיד עציבט		
	5-0" x 5-0" PICTURE N/ 5-0 x 1-4" PICTURE TRANSOM		
	TEMPERED		
	-		
	MULLED: (3) 2'-6" X 5'-0" (CASE + PICT + CASE) W (3) 2'-6" X 2'-0" PICT. TRANSOMS		
1		1970 Call	
	2'-6' X 3'-6' CASEMENT W 2'-6' X 1'-4' PICTURE TRANSOM		
	-		
	WIDE HORIZONTAL BAR TO SIMULATE SINGLE HANG WINDOW - SEE ELEVATION 2'-6' X 5-0' CASEMENT W/ 2'-6' X 2'-6' PICTURE TRANSOM	e e	
	•		
1	MINUTARIA	Ionathan DeGray Architector tector National Street Separation of the Content National Street Separation of the Content National Street Street Separation of the Content National Street Street Separation of the Content National Street Separation of the Content National Street Street Separation of the Content National Street Street Separation of the Content National Street Separation of the Content National Street Street Separation of the Content National Street Street Separation of the Content National Street	
1	REMARKS	Jonathan DeGray A c c h i t e c t	
	Automatic door opener, insulated, meatherstrip	- C	
	HALF LITE, INSULATED, LOW E, WEATHER STRIP, THRESHOLD LOWFRED VENT IN LOWER HALF OF DOOR		
	20 MIN - SELF CLOSER - SMOKE SEAL - THRESHOLD		
	-	ION	
		DDL	
	•	DE ND A	
		ESI NAI NAI AVE	
- - - - - - - - - - - - - -			
	HALF LITE W TRANSOM, INSULATED, LOW E, WEATHER STRIP, THRESHOLD	IPIR EMP K CT	
	FULL LITE W/ SIDELITE & TRANSOM, INSULATED, LOW E, WEATHER STRIP, THRESHOLD	EM CRE 1063 PAR	
	BI-FOLD	* 063 0RIC	
		LISIH	
	- RULL LITE 6-8" W 2-0" TRANSOM, INSULATED, LOW E, WEATHER STRIP, THRESHOLD	HISTO	
		8.3	
1		MO	
		8	
	-		
1	BI-POLD	W A ULJ	
+	POCKET		
t	•	WINDOW ANI SCHEDULES	
		R, V	
54	MQLIPS.	DOOR, WINDOW AND ROOM SCHEDULES	
		DO	
		REVISIONS	
ĺ		DATE 10/31/16	
7		PROBET NUMBER:	
		SHEET NUMBER	
		A6.0	
	_	50-000000000	
	Pad	e 77 of 81	



Historic Preservation Board Staff Report

Subject: Author:	Historic Preservation-Compatibility Study Anya Grahn, Historic Preservation Planner
	Hannah Tyler, Planner
Date:	February 1, 2017
Type of Item:	Work Session

Summary Recommendation

Staff has committed to routinely reviewing the existing Design Guidelines for Historic Districts and Historic Sites; however, the Design Guidelines have not been reviewed since their adoption in 2009. Staff requests that the Historic Preservation Board (HPB) read and familiarize themselves with the existing Design Guidelines to prepare for this work session. The Design Guidelines are available online at: http://www.parkcity.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=62.

Background

Purpose of the Design Guidelines

The Design Guidelines provide direction to property owners, architects, designers, builders, developers, City staff, the Historic Preservation Board (HPB), and City Council in developing proposals that maintain the historic character of Park City's Old Town. The Design Guidelines fulfill policy directives provided in the General Plan and Land Management Code (LMC). Further, these guidelines are a foundation for making decisions and a framework for ensuring consistent procedures and fair deliberations.

What do they do?

The Design Guidelines are a standard for rehabilitating historic structures, developing historic sites, and constructing new buildings in the commercial and residential neighborhoods of Old Town. The guidelines direct alterations and the design of new construction projects to maintain the historic integrity and character of our historic districts. This allows Park City to maintain its listing on the National Register of Historic Places.

The Design Guidelines were adopted by City Council in 2009. They were intended to be a living document that would be reviewed regularly and modified as necessary; however, no changes have been made to the Design Guidelines since 2009. Staff began reviewing areas of the Design Guidelines that could be improved with the HPB in December 2014. Going forward, staff has proposed a rigorous schedule for the HPB's review of the Design Guidelines starting in January 2016 (Exhibit A).

Update on Design Guidelines for Historic Sites

The HPB completed their revisions on the existing Design Guidelines for Historic Sites in November 2016. Staff is working on finalizing these edits before submitting them to a consultant for final review and formatting. Staff will review the final format with the HPB when it becomes available. The HPB will then need to recommend any final edits before making a positive recommendation to City Council.

<u>Analysis</u>

Staff will be beginning the revisions on the Design Guidelines for New Construction beginning in March 2017. In order to move forward with these revisions, staff is requesting the HPB's input in guiding the design of new development. The basic question is:

How "historic" should new infill development appear?

On May 4, 2015, the HPB completed a work session about how to define compatibility and complementary. The HPB found that new construction could be compatible and complementary to historic construction in the following ways:

- Form
- Mass and scale
- Roof shapes
- Building height
- Height of floor elevations
- Setbacks
- Materials

- Repetition or rhythm of openings-tosolids
- Rhythm of entrances and/or porches
- Window and door sizes, proportions,
- and patterns
- Orientation of entrances
- Landscaping

Staff is now requesting the HPB to provide input related to the appearance of new infill development and how "historic" it should appear. The Secretary of the Interior's Standards recommend that "the new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment." There is regular disagreement within the historic preservation community about how different new construction should be from historic resources.

In a survey of communities, staff has found several approaches:

- 1. <u>Williamsburg, VA:</u> In order to protect the integrity of the Colonial Williamsburg Historic Area, only replication of 18th Century buildings are permitted within the Historic Area. Any new construction not designed in 18th century styles cannot be constructed within ¼ mile of the Historic Area boundaries.
- 2. <u>Breckenridge, CO:</u> Breckenridge encourages maintaining "character" and "context." Their Design Guidelines promote designing in modules that reflect the size, scale, and mass of historic structures.
- 3. <u>Madison, IN:</u> Madison requires that new infill development is compatible in height, orientation, setback, scale, proportions, and shape to historic structures.
- <u>Telluride, CO:</u> Telluride also promotes compatibility in mass and scale, orientation, drawing on fundamental similarities with historic buildings without copying them, traditional building materials, traditional pattern of doors and windows, etc.
- 5. <u>George Washington University Neighborhood, Washington, DC</u>: Overall, the City emphasizes maintaining historic resources through adaptive reuse, allowing

additions and new infill so long as it does not overwhelm and detract from the historic resources. The Design Guideline stress that the additions should be compatible with the character of the historic building through appropriate location, size, materials, and appearance.

Staff proposes emphasizing the following in our Design Guideline revisions to encourage compatible infill that preserves the character of Old Town while also allowing it to be differentiated from neighboring historic resources:

- Infill using traditional forms can use more materials; infill using modern forms should be clad with traditional materials.
- Overall compatibility through mass, scale, and shape of the building can be achieved by promoting the use of modules similar to those found on historic buildings.
- Flat roofs are generally not appropriate as the primary roof form or the roof form viewable from the primary right-of-way. They may be appropriate on some accessory structures.
- Ratio of openings-to-solid need to be consistent with historic structures. The style of doors and windows can be more contemporary, but should just generally be consistent in size and scale with historic window and door openings.
- Porches should be strongly encouraged on new residential infill development.
- Stepping up-hill and down-hill is necessary on new commercial infill development to break up the total mass of the building.

These concepts will be addressed in further detail in our Design Guideline revisions starting in March.

Does the HPB agree with staff's proposal?

Summary Recommendation

Staff has committed to routinely reviewing the existing Design Guidelines for Historic Districts and Historic Sites; however, the Design Guidelines have not been reviewed since their adoption in 2009. Staff requests that the Historic Preservation Board (HPB) read and familiarize themselves with the existing Design Guidelines to prepare for this work session. The Design Guidelines are available online at:

http://www.parkcity.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=62.